

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_113609
Title of the Manuscript:	Energy and nutrient intakes of school-going Adolescents: Relationship with body dimensions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Relationship of Energy and nutrient intakes with anthropometric measurements of school-going Adolescents in Nigeria</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The anthropometric measurements should be compared to WHO 2006 data. The nutrient intake data should be compared with the country specific RNI.</p> <p>WHO 2006, Country specific RNI</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All tables should give age-specific and gender specific sample size. The numbers in each category should be comparable. - Socio-economic status of the students has not been mentioned - Who gave the information about their 3-day food intake and how this was done needs to be explained in detail. - Justification to use specific statistical tests needs to be given 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammatical / punctuation mistakes should be corrected. Sentence formation needs to be improved. I have done some in track change mode.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is good but needs some improvement as mentioned above</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anshu Sharma
Department, University & Country	India