

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_113962
Title of the Manuscript:	THORACIC ENDOMETRIOSIS SYNDROME AT A TERTIARY LEVEL HEALTH INSTITUTION IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I would like to appreciate the researchers to select such a unique study, since it is a rare syndrome. Hence this manuscript would be an asset for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title would have modified as “An evaluation of the outcome of interventions for Thoracic Endometriosis Syndrome at a tertiary level health institution - A prospective cross-sectional study” for the better clarity. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>In the subsections, significance of this research needs to be included. Few explanations regarding the proforma used for collecting the data will give more clarity in the methods section. Yes, it's an initiative to create an awareness regarding a rare condition occurring, that is usually neglect by the research community.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient. Can't expect more in this aspect like recent studies, because studies are less in the topic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This study can be accepted with the minor corrections mentioned above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milos Arsenijevic
Department, University & Country	University of Kragujevac, Serbia