

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_113962
Title of the Manuscript:	THORACIC ENDOMETRIOSIS SYNDROME AT A TERTIARY LEVEL HEALTH INSTITUTION IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Catamenial pneumothorax or catamenial haemopneumothorax is still an insufficiently defined aspect of endometriosis with an unclear pathophysiological process. Given that this article is a prospective study of 14 cases, it is interesting to note that at first, this is not so rare, and that, as the very definition finds, it confirms the rule that women are affected in the reproductive period of life.</p> <p>The title of the article itself is nicely rounded, while the article is written simply and comprehensibly. The article itself skilfully follows the methodological principles that are applied in the clinical practice of treating catamenial pneumothorax, so that it is well presented to the reader from a scientific point of view.</p> <p>References are listed clearly, chronologically according to the text of the article and give serious support to the article itself.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>I find no ethical issues in the article.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Smitha Madhavan
Department, University & Country	Lincoln University College, Malaysia