

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_105121
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytomorphological and Prevalence of abnormal Cervical Smears in Sokoto State Metropolis
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Cervical cancer is one of the leading cause of death in developing countries. Screening by Pap smear is very successful in developed countries, but not in developing countries.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The aim is to compare the 3 methods. But there is no result chart of comparison. As per the guidelines PAP smear screening should be up to 70 years. Here, it is done up to 98 years. In the methodology, first sentence is not appropriate english.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandini
Department, University & Country	Ramaiah Medical College, India