

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_105121
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytomorphological and Prevalence of abnormal Cervical Smears in Sokoto State Metropolis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The author aims at corroborating cytological evaluation with molecular techniques to improve sensitivity and specificity of cervical screening.</p> <p>The title seems inappropriate to me in context of the proposed aim for the study. Alternate title may be considered as “Molecular technique integrated cytological evaluation of cervical smear for improved screening”</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Subsection for molecular technique is missing</p> <p>No; Methods for molecular technique is missing. All the images furnished are bad quality and inconclusive. Screening of cervical cancer is never conducted at 100x.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Numerous spellings to be corrected. Lots of typographical error and grammatical mistakes to be rectified.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript requires major modification prior to acceptance. The study doesn't comply with the proposed aim.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material and method section to be elaborated. Sub section for molecular screening to be introduced. 2. The images to be replaced with high resolution, properly stained cervical monolayer images along with inadequate and acellular smears. 3. The data and basis of corroboration of cervical smear with molecular studies needs to be furnished. 4. Discussion needs serious modification 5. The tables require proper formatting 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lopamudra Das Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Texas A&M University, United States