

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113778
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF RING IMPLANT SURGERIES ON THE CORNEAL TRANSPLANT QUEUE AT A REFERENCE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL IN THE STATE OF PARÁ
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Keratoconus is not so uncommon and has potential for severe morbidity in younger age group.It shows good visual outcomes with surgical intervention although keratoplasty has issues like donor availability, technical expertise, postoperative followup.The author suggest possibility of ring implants as an easier, reversible and less invasive alternative with decent outcomes which sounds promising. Yes.</p> <p>Yes. Its clear and to the point</p> <p>Yes.Being an observational study, patients who underwent the procedure have been included.The criteria used to decide indications and exclusions for the ring implant have not been mentioned.Also the final duration of followup post ring implant would give us an idea of long lasting nature of the surgery.It can be added.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>They are both recent and old but include appropriate studies from good journals.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. It is apt.</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>Overall this study is comprehensive, well analysed and suitably discussed with good references.It was a good read and provides useful information and direction on management of an important condition.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandhya Dharwadkar
Department, University & Country	Mysore Medical College & Research Centre, India