

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_113061
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Evaluation of Physico-chemical Properties of Moringa-Woodapple-Karonda Mixed Jam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is needed in practical application of moringa in enhancing food value chain.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. The problem statement should start the abstract and it is void of statistical analyses. The result in the abstract need to be reconstructed.</p> <p>No. The methodology and results and discussion are combined together. These should be separated.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs to be resubmitted for a re review because; Statistical analyses were not carried out, materials and methods, results and discussion should be separated, Tables should have as foot notes the description of the samples, production processes are poorly explained, methodology is not clear, each table should depict the statistical analyses performed, and any other concerns.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>None</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulina Oludoyin Adeniyi
Department, University & Country	International Institute for Policy Review and Development Strategies, Nigeria