

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_111873
Title of the Manuscript:	Zootechanical performance and bromatological composition of the flesh of fingerlings of Oreochromis sp (Red Tilapia) fed local feed supplemented with Afrostyrax lepidophyllus seed powder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p style="color: red;">Manuscript presented a platform for scientific discussion and are of interest. The title, abstract of the manuscript is in harmony with the content and research results.</p> <p style="color: red;">The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p style="color: red;">There are minor technical corrections, but they do not significantly affect the significance of the presented manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p style="color: red;">There are minor technical corrections, but they do not significantly affect the significance of the presented manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p style="color: red;">Some technical errors do not significantly affect the scientific interest of the manuscript. The writing is good enough. The title of the manuscript corresponds to the results presented. Overall, the manuscript displays practical relevance and scientific value. The topic is relevant. The research results are presented in a reliable manner.</p> <p style="color: red;">The writing is good enough. Overall, the manuscript displays practical relevance and scientific value. The topic is relevant. The research results are presented in a reliable manner.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Honcharova
Department, University & Country	Université agraire et économique d'État de Kherson, Ukraine