

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_113696
Title of the Manuscript:	Early Growth Response of Annona muricata (L.) Seedlings to Different Potting Media
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the early growth dynamics of Annona muricata seedlings in various potting media, offering insights relevant to agricultural practices and ecological restoration. Its significance lies in its novelty, methodological rigor, and practical implications for researchers and practitioners in related fields.</p> <p>"Assessing Early Growth Patterns in <i>Annona muricata</i> (L.) Seedlings Across Diverse Potting Media Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>-</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction of the article presents a thorough examination of Annona muricata, covering its botanical attributes, nutritional and medicinal properties, economic relevance, and cultivation obstacles. While it effectively establishes the context for the study, refining certain sections for clarity and ensuring consistent citation formatting would enhance readability. Moreover, explicitly stating the study's objectives within the introduction would sharpen its focus and guide readers more effectively.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maruvoor Arasiprabu
Department, University & Country	PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, India