

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_113290</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>OBSTETRIC OUTCOME IN PATIENTS WITH PREVIOUS ABDOMINAL MYOMECTOMY AT A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>The subject</b> is important as fibroids and related management is a frequent issue faced by women and their obstetricians.</p> <p>May I suggest to remove <b>PORT HARCOURT</b> from the title unless there is a special consideration for this region that the authors want the reader to be aware of .</p> <p>The abstract is informative. The structure is appropriate The scientific methos is sound. However:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This is a very simple descriptive study reporting frequencies.</li> <li>- The data analysis could be improved by multivariant analysis for assessing the impact of age or type of fibroids on the complications risk like miscarriage.</li> <li>- Unfortunetly the author did not report on the total number of myomectomies and how many of those conceived. The study is reporting that myomas are more common in nulliparous women. May be nulliparous women has the motivation of seeking help because they are trying to conceive while multiparous women might not have that motivation.</li> <li>- For women who underwent caesarean section electively: did all of them were advised caesarean for a breached endometrial cavity?</li> <li>- The author may elaborate more on the lady who had the uterine rupture as if she had the recommendations clear that she can have trial of vaginal delivery or otherwise.</li> <li>- The discussion is limited and needs improvement in length, structure and aspects.</li> <li>- Where appropriate to compare the complication rate in this group of women to the rate in general obstetrics complications for the reader to see how much does the procedure alone contribute to the risk if any.</li> </ul> <p><b>Under invasive procedure;</b> the author did not list uterine fibroid embolization. Although its use is controversial for women who desire fertility, it is still an intervention that is used for management of myomas.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction and before the methods, the author better highlight the knowledge gab that they are trying to address by doing this study and how this study contributes to closing this knowledge gab.</p> <p>The first paragraph of the discussion belongs to the introduction.</p> <p><b>References</b> are adequate and recent . However, for the prevalance of fibroids better to quote African references as they tend to report higher incidences of fibroid in African women.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language is appropriate but there areas that can be improved in communicating the research process.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments See above</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maryam Al Shukri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sultan Qaboos University Hospital, Oman</b>