

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJEBA_113767 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effects of Inventory Management Practices on Operational Performance in Mombasa County Government, Kenya |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes, this manuscript is quite important for the scientific community</p> <p>Yes, I think the title of this article is suitable for its content.</p> <p>Yes, I believe the abstract is comprehensive but too long with over 400 words... it should be reduced to just 200-250 words.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of this manuscript are quite appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, in my opinion, this manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references used are sufficient and up-to-date. However, I recommend adding the DOI number for each referenced article to enhance the referencing style.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language/English quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communication and generally understandable</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The word count in the article is too long, exceeding 8,000 words. I suggest adhering more closely to the maximum word count in the article template. Thank you</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Halkadri Fitra |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia |