

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_113738
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ETHANOL EXTRACT OF AVOCADO PULP (Persia americana mill) ON SPERM QUALITY AND HISTOLOGICAL CHANGES IN CAFFEINE INDUCED TESTICULAR INJURY IN WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- I would like to congratulate the authors on their manuscript. Firstly, they provide a histopathological analysis of testes after caffeine-induced harm. Secondly, they provide promising results for ethanol avocado pulp extract.</p> <p>2- The suggested title is appropriate. I recommend using the scientific name only for avocado pulp instead of the common name.</p> <p>3- I understand that you need some improvements in the text. It seems like there are some spelling errors in the abstract. Also, the sentence "The rats were sacrificed twice after treatment(...)" needs to be rephrased. To avoid any misinterpretation, I suggest suppressing the distribution of the groups in the methods section. You might want to consider presenting some results in the results and conclusion section to make it more informative and engaging for the reader.</p> <p>4- The subsections are appropriated.</p> <p>In the methods section, please make sure to provide accurate details regarding the standard caffeine extraction and avocado oil extraction processes. It is not necessary to mention the supplier's name or location, as this may compromise their privacy. Additionally, please clarify whether you administered these substances orally. If so, please explain how you ensured that the rats ingested the entire dose.</p> <p>In the results section, please include only the raw data. Any comments or comparisons should be reserved for the discussion section.</p> <p>5-It's scientifically correct. It's a clinical trial - prospective, individualized, and longitudinal. Despite the small sample size, it holds some value.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient and upgraded.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Needs spelling and grammar major review	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre Kyoshi Hidaka
Department, University & Country	Centro Universitario da Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Brazil