

Performance of Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) and Pigeon pea (*Cajanus Cajan* L.) intercropping system under North Eastern Ghat Zone of Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) is a significant cash crop cultivated by tribal farmers of Odisha for their sustenance. Despite favourable agro-climatic conditions, turmeric productivity lags considerably behind the national average, standing at 2.4t/ha compared to the national average of 5.1t/ha. Therefore, the present study was carried out during 2021-22 and 2022-23 *khari* season to evaluate the performance of cropping system to find out suitable planting geometry and row proportions. The different treatments taken were viz. T₁- Sole Turmeric, T₂- Sole Pigeon pea, T₃- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) – one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Additive), T₄- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Additive), T₅- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1)-one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Replacement), T₆- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Replacement), T₇- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (6:2)- Two rows of pigeon pea after six rows of turmeric (Replacement), T₈- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (10:2)- one row of pigeon pea after ten rows of turmeric (Replacement).- Sole crop of turmeric fresh rhizome (106.04 q/ha) and pigeon pea grain (14.07 q/ha) produced the highest yield when compared with other intercropping systems. Turmeric + Pigeon pea (10:2) geometry gave the highest net return and benefit cost ratio over other intercropping system.

Keywords: Turmeric, pigeon pea, rhizomes, tribal, livelihood

1. INTRODUCTION

Turmeric is an important commercial spice crop grown in India since ancient times and it is named as “Indian saffron”. It is known as the “golden spice” as well as the “spice of life.” It reached China by 700 AD, East Africa by 800 AD and West Africa by 1200. It was introduced to Jamaica in the 18th Century and started becoming popular throughout the world. Turmeric was probably cultivated at first as a dye, and then became valued as a condiment as well as for cosmetic purposes. Turmeric was introduced to Europe by Arab traders in 13th century. Marco Polo, during his travels in China in 1280, was impressed by turmeric, described it as a vegetable with saffron like properties but distinct from saffron itself. Turmeric is globally recognized primarily as a spice, commonly used in various culinary applications such as curry powder, chicken bouillon, sauces, gravies, dry seasoning, baking mixes, processed cheese pickles, relishes, bread soup, beverages and confections” (Sasikumar, 2001). “Turmeric is now grown in

countries like India, China, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Vietnam, Thailand, Philippines, Japan, Korea, Sri Lanka, Nepal, South Pacific Islands, East and West Africa, Malaysia, Caribbean Islands and Central America. The world production of turmeric is 800000 tonnes in which India hold a share of approximately 75- 80% and consumes around 80% of its own production. India is by far the largest producer and exporter of turmeric in the world. Indian turmeric is considered the best in the world market because of its high curcumin content (6.7%). Turmeric occupies about 6% of the total area under spices and condiments in country. During 2012-2013, the country produced 9,92,900 tonnes of turmeric from an area of 1,95,100 ha” (Anonymous, 2013). During the period from April 2011 to January 2012, India ex-ported 67,000 tonnes of turmeric valued at Rs 6,438 million. From India’s total turmeric exports, 65% exported to UAE, USA, Japan, Srilanka, UK, and Malaysia. Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Odisha, Karnataka, West Bengal, Gujarat, Meghalaya, Maharashtra and Assam are important states cultivating turmeric. Andhra Pradesh alone occupies 35.0% of area and 47.0% of production.

“In Odisha, turmeric is an important cash crop grown by tribal families for their livelihood and more than 50% of this crop grower are tribals. Odisha contributes about 21 % of India’s turmeric cultivation in terms of area and Kandhamal makes up for over 50 % of the state’s share. Odisha produced turmeric 59361 t from 24733 ha. Kandhamal district stands first in turmeric area as well as production (28,828 t from 11,088 ha). Koraput is the second largest producing district (7,761 t from 3,168 ha) followed by Nayagarh (5343 t from 2473 ha) and Keonjhar (2937 t from 1224 ha). Turmeric serves as a vital cash crop cultivated by Kondha tribes in Kandhamal district and the Langi Kondhain Gajapati district. Utilising their traditional farming methods, these tribes cultivate turmeric in their backyards, predominantly employing organic practices, which yields them favourable returns. Recognising the potential of turmeric, the state has proposed an Agri Export Zone (AEZ) covering Kandhamal district” (Ray, 2007). “Furthermore turmeric holds cultural significance being involved in religious rituals and magical rites in India and various Southeast Asian countries. Traditionally, it has been utilized in India for both human and veterinary healthcare, as a natural dye, and in the preparation of savory dishes. Though traditional Indian Ayurvedic and Siddha systems of medicine have recognized the medicinal value of turmeric in its crude form since very ancient times, the few decades have witnessed extensive research interests worldwide in the biomedical activity of turmeric and its compounds. Thus Curcuma is now gaining importance all over the world as a mighty cure to combat a variety of ailments, as the genus carries molecules credited with anti-inflammatory, hypocholesteremic, choleraic,

antimicrobial, antirheumatic, antifibrotic, antivenomous, antiviral antidiabetic, antihepatotoxic and anticancerous properties as well as insect repellent activity”(Chattopadhyaya *et al*,2004) .Turmeric can be grown in Odisha as an intercrop in coconut and areca nut plantations. Turmeric is the third-largest spice exported from India. In terms of quantity and value, it accounts about 12% and 5% respectively.

Due to the rapidly increasing population of the country, the pressure on agricultural land to get maximum yield per unit is increasing continuously. On the other hand, due to climate change, there is also a significant change in the uncertainty of rainfall. Intercropping farming is considered very useful in dealing with such challenges. In pigeon pea growing areas of the country, farmers often plant pigeon pea on the bunds of paddy fields. This gives less yield. But if turmeric farming is done with pigeon pea, then farmers can earn double.

Intercropping Farming is such a scientific technique, which has been found to be very effective in increasing income of the farmers. In this regard, it is recommended by agricultural experts to do turmeric with pigeon pea or ginger with pigeon pea or turmeric with drumstick or inter-cultivation of ginger and turmeric with papaya. With this modern technology, farmers can increase their income apart from reducing the risk of farming.

Actually, turmeric can be cultivated easily even in shady environment. Turmeric is a popular medicinal and spice crop. That's why it gets good price in the market. Cultivation of turmeric with pigeon pea as an intercropping crop yields income from both the costly crops. The inclusion of pigeon pea cultivation in the crop rotation maintains the fertility of the soil, because the roots of pulse crops provide natural fertility to the soil by absorbing nitrogen directly from the atmosphere.

“Tribal farmers grow turmeric as a sole crop or take pigeonpea, colocassia and cowpea with turmeric in mixed cropping systems” (Behera *et al*. 2004). “Turmeric + pigeonpea mixed cropping system gives several advantages *viz.* soil health build-up due to legume component, creation of favourable microclimate for turmeric due to partial shade from pigeonpea and satisfaction of domestic pulse need. The farmers grow the component crops neither in regular rows nor in proper row ratio. Yield of component crops decreases due to mutual competition”.(Behera *et al*. 2004) Hence the experiment has been designed to find out suitable row ratio and planting pattern for turmeric + pigeonpea intercropping system.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

One experiment was conducted at Regional Research Technology and Transfer Station (RRTTS), G.Udayagiri, Kandhamal, Odisha to study the performance of cropping system to find out suitable row proportions of turmeric and arhar with additive and replacement series.

Experimental Design was Randomised Block Design with three replications and eight treatments. The experiment was conducted in medium land site and the soil was sandy loam in texture, pH 5.39, low available P_2O_5 , organic carbon-5.4g/kg, and available K_2O is 160kg ha^{-1}

The treatments used were

T₁. Sole Turmeric,

T₂- Sole Pigeon pea

T₃. Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) – one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Additive)

T₄- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Additive)

T₅- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) -one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₆- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₇- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (6:2)- Two rows of pigeon pea after six rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₈- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (10:2)- one row of pigeon pea after ten rows of turmeric (Replacement)

Recommended Ecosystem – Rain fed upland and Parameters measured included plant height, number of branches/plant at harvest, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pods, 1000 seed mass, rooting depth, mass of mother rhizome, mass of primary rhizome, mass of secondary and tertiary rhizome. The data was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software .

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The morphological characters of turmeric were found to be significantly influenced by various intercrops. The pigeon-pea as pure crop recorded significantly higher values for plant height (174.9 cm), no of branches/plant at harvest (15.3), no of pods /plant (12.3), no of seeds /pod (4.7), 1000 seed mass (82.11)g, mass of secondary rhizome /plant (405.1), yield of 14.07q ha⁻¹ and B:C ratio -1.40. The turmeric as pure crop recorded plant height-78.30cm, Rooting depth-13.11cm, length of leaves—36.31cm, weight of mother rhizome-34.40g, weight of primary rhizome-132.30g, and weight of secondary and tertiary rhizome-75.20g, total weight clump-241.80g, fresh turmeric rhizome-106,04q ha⁻¹ and B:C ratio -1.37. The turmeric:Pigeonpea (10:2) in T8 having one row of pigeon pea after ten rows of turmeric (Replacement) recorded significantly higher values for plant height, Rooting depth, length of leaves, mass of mother rhizome, mass of primary rhizome, and mass of secondary and tertiary rhizome, total mass clump-221.20g, fresh turmeric rhizome, Grain yield of Pigeon pea (q ha⁻¹) -4.54 and B:C ratio -(2.11 ha⁻¹), dry turmeric rhizome yield (16.81q ha⁻¹), dry turmeric rhizome equivalent yield was (19.91q ha⁻¹) and Islam *et al.* (2016) indicated that “higher biomass production and consequently more efficient use of land and available resources under intercropping than under sole cropping contributed to the higher turmeric yield”. Similar results were obtained by Balashanmugam *et al.* (1988) and Narayanpur and Sulikeri (1996) in turmeric and Kumar *et al.* (2018) in ginger. Paray *et al.* (2014) reported that “ginger equivalent yield and net return was higher in ginger (Raigarh local) + turmeric (Sudershana) in 1:1 row ratio intercropping system”.

“when the base crop and intercrops were adequately fertilised then there is no yield reduction in the main crop” (Nayar and Suja, 2004). Similar results were observed by Kannan *et al.*, (2001), Chattopadhyay *et al.*, (2008) “in elephant foot yam intercropped with vegetables”. It was interpreted by Singh *et al.*, (2013) that “less competition for resources and better scope of intercultural operations at early growth stages were the favourable points, which might have triggered the process of partitioning photosynthates from source to sink resulting in higher yield of main crop”. Further, Das and Maharana (1995) explained that “elephant foot yam does not compete for light because it is able to tolerate shade”. “The higher productivity of the intercrop system compared to the sole crop might have resulted from complementary and efficient use of growth resources by the component crops” as explained by Li *et al.*, (2006). Willey (1979) also elucidated that “mixed cropping was more efficient and productive than sole cropping

because of higher combined yield and better energy use efficiency". Ravindran *et al.*, (2006) also advocated intercropping of tuber crops for better remuneration.

Table1.

Effect of planting geometry on growth and yield attributes of Pigeon pea in turmeric + pigeon pea intercropping system(Pooled over 2021-22 &2022-23)

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at harvest	No of branches ⁻¹ plant at harvest	No of field pods/plant	No of seeds/for pods	1000- Seed weight (g)
T ₁	-	-	-	-	-
T ₂	174.9	15.3	132.3	4.7	82.11
T ₃	169.4	14.6	130.3	4.6	82.10
T ₄	161.2	14.0	125.7	4.4	81.78
T ₅	166.4	14.5	130.3	4.5	81.98
T ₆	156.1	13.5	122.2	4.3	81.55
T ₇	165.2	14.1	127.8	4.5	81.78
T ₈	151.5	13.1	120.4	4.1	81.34
SEm (±)	5.29	0.46	2.78	0.22	1.65
CV	10.78	0.95	5.77	0.46	NS

T₁. Sole Turmeric,

T₂- Sole Pigeon pea

T₃. Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) – one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Additive)

T₄- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Additive)

T₅- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) -one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₆- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₇- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (6:2)- Two rows of pigeon pea after six rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₈- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (10:2)- one row of pigeon pea after ten rows of turmeric (Replacement)

Table2.

Effect of planting geometry on growth and yield attributes of Turmeric in turmeric + pigeon pea intercropping system(Pooled over 2021-22 &2022-23)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Rooting depth (cm)	Weight of mother rhizome (g)	Weight of primary rhizome (g)	Weight of secondary and tertiary rhizome (g)	Total weight (g) clump
T ₁	78.30	13.11	34.40	132.30	75.20	241.80
T ₂	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₃	63.75	8.70	23.98	107.56	46.76	178.24
T ₄	67.68	11.53	26.21	126.34	60.67	216.22
T ₅	66.21	9.89	25.21	119.23	54.45	201.22
T ₆	67.37	10.57	28.34	121.01	56.28	205.63
T ₇	65.34	9.23	24.11	117.34	52.75	194.23
T ₈	74.59	12.46	30.60	127.40	64.20	221.20
SEm (±)	2.15	0.54	1.24	3.68	2.46	4.55
CD (0.05)	6.30	1.59	3.64	10.78	7.20	13.34

T₁ - Sole Turmeric, T₂- Sole Pigeon pea T₃- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) – one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Additive)

T₄- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Additive)

T₅- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (3:1) -one row of pigeon pea after three rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₆- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (5:1)- one row of pigeon pea after five rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₇- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (6:2)- Two rows of pigeon pea after six rows of turmeric (Replacement)

T₈- Turmeric + Pigeon pea (10:2)- one row of pigeon pea after ten rows of turmeric (Replacement)

Table3.

Yield and economics of Turmeric +Pigeon pea intercropping system (Pooled over 2021-22 &2022-23)

Treatment	Fresh Turmeric Rhizome (q/ha)	Grain yield of Pigeon pea (q/ha)	Dry Turmeric Rhizome (q/ha)	Turmeric (dry rhizome) equivalent yield (q/ha)	Gross Return (Turmeric) (Rs/ha)	Gross Return (Pigeon pea) (Rs/ha)	Gross Return (Turmeric &Pigeon pea) (Rs/ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio
T1-Sole turmeric	106.04	-	22.21	-	371140	-	371140	40140	1.37
T2- Sole Pigeon pea	-	14.07	-	9.62	-	92862	92862	41863	1.40

T3- Additive	T+P(3:1)	42.99	11.13	8.60	16.26	150465	73458	223923	51563	1.51
T4- Additive	T+P(5:1)	59.90	7.30	11.83	16.88	209650	48180	257830	57590	1.54
T5- Replacement	T+P(3:1)	53.22	8.81	10.65	16.66	186270	58146	244416	69736	1.67
T6- Replacement	T+P(5:1)	61.59	5.97	12.32	16.40	215565	39402	254967	75927	1.87
T7- Replacement	T+P(6:1)	52.60	8.28	10.52	16.21	184100	54648	238748	66068	1.71
T8- Replacement	T+P(10:2)	84.02	4.54	16.81	19.91	294070	29964	324034	98994	2.11
SEm (+)		6.74	1.08	0.55	0.71	3396	2983	2359	2431	0.04
CV		18.04	3.16	1.16	1.50	7199	6323	5001	5154	0.08

4.CONCLUSION

The Adoption of a turmeric and pigeon pea 10:2 planting pattern for turmeric and pigeon pea intercropping has emerged as the most stable, productive and economically rewarding system. This planting geometry ensures optimum spacing between turmeric and pigeon pea plants, allowing for efficient resource utilization, and minimal competition between crops. Through this arrangement, farmers can achieve stable yields and maximize productivity while also enhancing profitability. Research findings indicate that this specific planting pattern promotes synergy between turmeric and pigeon pea, leading to mutually beneficial growth and development. Overall, adopting the 10:2 planting pattern offers a promising approach to optimize the inter cropping system's and economic returns for farmers. Sole crop of turmeric fresh rhizome (106.04 q/ha) and pigeon pea grain (14.07 q/ha) produced the highest yield when compared with other intercropping systems. Turmeric + Pigeon pea (10:2) geometry gave the highest net return and benefit cost ratio over other intercropping system. Turmeric and pigeon pea (10:2) planting pattern to turmeric and pigeon pea intercropping system recommended for higher productivity and net return also.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous .National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, Gurgaon; Indian horticultural database. 2013.
- Balashanmugam, PV, Shah Ahmed , Chezhiyan N. Studies on relay intercropping in turmeric. South Indian Hort. 1988; 36 : 207–208.
- Chattopadhyay I, Biswas K, Bandyopadhyay U , Banerjee RK. Turmeric and curcumin: bio-logical actions and medicinal applications. Curr. Sci. 2004; 87: 44-53.

Das AK. , Maharana T. Effect of spacing and intercropping on bunch characters of banana cv. Robusta. Orissa Journal of Horticulture. 1995;23(1- 2): 13 – 17.

Islam, M.R., Molla, M.S.H. and Main, M.A.K. . Productivity and profitability of intercropping sesame with turmeric at marginal farmers level of Bangladesh. SAARC J.2016;

Kannan MP, Jansirani VA, Sathiyamurthy D,Veeraragavathatham Sankar V. Standardization of vegetable intercropping system in Amorphophallus yam. Changing scenario in the production systems of horticultural crops. South Indian Horticulture. 2001; 49: 370–372.

KumarAK , Reddy MD. Integrated weed management in maize + turmeric intercropping system. Indian J. Weed Sci.2000;32 : 59–62.

Li L, Sun JH, Zhang FS, Li XL, Yang SC , Rengel, Z. Wheat/maize or wheat/soybean intercropping I. Yield advantage and interspecific interactions on nutrients. Field Crop Research..2006;71: 123–137.

NarayanpurMN ,Sulikeri GS. Economics of companion cropping system in turmeric (*Cucurma longa* L.). Indian Cocoa, Arec. Spices J. 1996;20: 77–79.

ParayePM ,Mahobia RK, Paikra KK , Singh SP. (2014). Ginger (*GingiberofficinaleRoscur*) based intercropping system for Chhattisgarh plain zone. Environ. &Ecol 1996; 32(2A): 791-793.

Nayar TVR, Suja G. Production potential of root and tuber crops in multiple cropping systems involving plantation crops. Journal of root crops. 2004; 31: 111–114.

Panse VG ,Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi Cr.Ist 1967;pp.100–109 and 152–161.

Ray DP.Spices cultivation in eastern and north eastern regions- A perspective, Souvenir & Abstracts of National Symposium on Spices and Aromatic Crops held at OUAT, Bhubaneswar, 2007;(25- 26):47-74.

RavindranCS, NairGM ,Nedunchezhiyan M. Intercropping tuber crops is remunerative. Indian Horticulture.2006;51(6): 25 – 27.

Sasikumar B .Turmeric. In: Peter KV (ed.) Handbook of Herbs and Spices, Cambridge, Woodland Publishing.2001 ;Vol.1:297-308.

Singh J, Randhawa GS. Effect of intercropping and mulch on yield and quality of turmeric. Acta Hort.1988; 188A: 183–186.

Willey RW. Intercropping – its importance and research needs. Part I. Competition and yield advantages. Field Crops Abstracts .1979;32(1): 1–10.

UNDER PEER REVIEW