

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_113573
Title of the Manuscript:	A Dual Axis IoT-Based Off-Grid Solar Tracking System with Wheatstone Bridge for Efficient Energy Harvesting and Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the article is important for scientific community but, it must follow standard writing procedure stipulated by the editorial board members of the journal. More, the article need to a location of study or study area for audience to understand better so that they can make inferences on the geographical location and other vital facts for decision-making process. I recommend that the be written as Influence of a Dual Axis IoT-Based Off-Grid Solar Tracking System and Wheatstone Bridge on Efficient Energy Harvesting and Management</p> <p>No, the abstract need to be a well structure that will consist of four paragraphs contents including recommendation/suggestion The subsections are somehow appropriate but more work need to be done in the linkage of ideas from one paragraph and section to the other for audience proper articulation when reading the work. The manuscript can be used based on the discipline after effecting necessary corrections including editorial work.</p> <p>The references are only 11, not sufficient but, they mostly current. The author should discuss the findings of the study and summary too. The sentence line number should be tailored to the journal approved format if at all they are using this type. Under the introduction section, the paragraph is too lengthy. Hence, it should be split to about 3 paragraphs with logical sequence of ideas and coherency. The figure should have sources and should align with research question and objectives of the study and as well corroborate the findings at the section of discussion of findings.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but need editorial work for aptness</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I recommend that the work be accepted for publication after the author(s) might have effect the salient comments made so far.</p>	

**Comment [I1]:** IOT should be written in full before abbreviating it in subsequent use.

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Isaruk Ikpoko-Ore-Ebirien Dike</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rivers State College of Health Science and Management Technology, Port Harcourt cum Babcock University, Nigeria</b>