

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_113112
Title of the Manuscript:	Treatment outcomes of retinoblastoma in children less than 6 months of age
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some grammar mistakes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Mainly the whole study should be once more corrected grammarless and sentence formation was not that much good</p> <p>At least 2-3 lines of INTRODUCTION could be added in Abstract</p> <p>Minor changes can be made as some content the infants showed as patients and in other place infants. So the author can mention them as infants / patients everywhere. Grammar mistakes can be corrected like past tenses.</p> <p>This study is scientifically correct. But in the conclusion what the author want to tell the society by doing this study. Whether it's better to start immediate CRD treatment or what. So it should be explained in the need for the study.</p> <p>Sufficient references are there. But some references are very (4,5,7,13,15). Old. Kindly try to give the recent references</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K Edvina Princy
Department, University & Country	SRM Trichy College of Nursing, India