

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113831
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of acute oral toxicity of Ayucee premix polyherbal Poultry formulation containing natural vitamin C and bioflavonoids
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes definitely. This manuscript is importantly helpful for scientific community as it covers all those aspects which a researcher need for working in poultry sciences and feed additives effects on living organisms. 2. Yes. The title of article is suitable as well as scientific. The title is the theme of research work, as it seems in this article the author has fulfilled all the requirements of an authentic title. 3. Abstract is comprehensive and so elaborative that anyone from the biological community can easily understand the nature of work as well as the reasonable results of this research. 4. Subsections and structure of manuscript is appropriate and according to the instructions of journal as mentioned by the editorial board. 5. Definitely, this manuscript is scientifically correct as it meets all the requirements of scientific research work. 6. As though as references are concerned, all the references are quite good because almost all are from past decades except one of the last reference which is from 2001nliterature. I think there should be all the references after 2010 for citation. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	English quality of the manuscript the suitable for scientific/scholarly community. It can easily be understood and elaborative for reader/researcher.	
<p>Optional/General comments No need. I highly appreciate the nature of research work.</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ahmed Saqib
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan