

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113719
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT SPACINGS UNDER VARYING FERTILITY LEVELS ON PROTEIN CONTENT, PROTEIN YIELD AND AVAILABLE NPK IN SOIL OF SUMMER GREENGRAM (Vigna radiata L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Finding the optimum spacing and fertility levels are aspects of agriculture that can be leveraged upon to ensure high seed yield and protein content as in the case of Greengram (legume). This article experiments with replications on Greengram and suggest optimum plant spacing and fertility levels that can result in higher protein content in seed, protein yield and available npk in soil after harvest. 2. From the article, since both the spacing and fertility levels were independently studied for results on protein content, protein yield and available npk after harvest, I suggest INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT SPACINGS AND VARYING FERTILITY LEVELS ON PROTEIN CONTENT, PROTEIN YIELD AND AVAILABLE NPK IN SOIL OF SUMMER GREENGRAM (Vigna radiata L.) 3. The abstract of the article summarizes the results of the findings which is consistent with what the body of the article outlines 4. Under section 2, "Methodology" is well explained but since this is a field experiment, it would be great to add pictures/ visuals of the randomized block design depicting the different spacings and fertility levels 5. Yes, the manuscript is well organized from abstract to discussion of results and conclusions 6. References are sufficient and recent for the study <p>Under introduction, line 19 "For greengram, 20 kg nitrogen, 40 kg phosphorus should be given at sowing time", please provide reference/study to back up this statement.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Please check and correct all grammatical errors in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Millicent Nkrumah Oppong
Department, University & Country	USA