

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113719
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT SPACINGS UNDER VARYING FERTILITY LEVELS ON PROTEIN CONTENT, PROTEIN YIELD AND AVAILABLE NPK IN SOIL OF SUMMER GREENGRAM (Vigna radiata L.)
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The manuscript is important to the scientific community, mainly in a national place. However, the manuscript has some issues throughout the text. The text needs to be revised. It's have lacks citations, information, and a correct discussion and conclusion. The paper needs to be much more emphatic in this new information that pretends to bring. I think that a new role version of the paper might be accepted</p> <p>2- The manuscript title is quite long and doesn't attract attention to the text. Suggestion - NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS LEVELS WITH DIFFERENT SPACINGS INTO QUALITY AND PRODUCTION OF SUMMER GREEN GRAM (Vigna radiata L.).</p> <p>3- The abstract needs to be rewritten. It doesn't have a proposed situation to demand a study. Moreover, the abstract doesn't present a conclusion to the manuscript.</p> <p>4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, there need to be severe improvements in the text.</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically correct but needs some improvements, mainly in the statistics part, to bring the necessary quality for the paper</p> <p>6- The references need to be refreshed and some references from others worldwide need to be added to improve the paper</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English level of the text is ok. I recommend a review together with the possible alterations in the text</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I think that a new role version of the paper might be accepted</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guilherme Amaral Ferreira
Department, University & Country	Salesian Catholic University Center, Brazil