

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113626
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Pseudomonas fluorescens, organic amendments and botanicals against Fusarium culmorum on Black Turmeric (Curcuma caesia)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In the abstract it was indicated the % of reduction radial growth by effect of the botaniclas, but it seems not significant different. Please express including statistical instead of indicating the specific results obtained.</p> <p>Introduction: please included, If available data on presence of the disease in India/other regions (only in included in China) or indicate the reason for.</p> <p>Materials and methods: the title should not be indicated in this section. The place were study is carried out should be indicated. Syntoms can be described, but no photos are needed (may in results). Organic amendments information should be included (any composition? Place of origin? Kind of animals? Etc). Rewrite the identification section. Mainteinance of culture? No clear the special reason in this trial. Please, Re-write. Preparation of botanicals, first was indicated leaves, shoots, etc but after only leaves. Please, specify. The method should be breaflly described, not only referenced. The same for aqueous extracts.</p> <p>Results: Statistical should be included in the tables to know which treatments are significant or not compared to control. Tables and figures on radial growth/in-vitro express the same results, photos are not necessary (at much one or two as representative)</p> <p>Conclusion: it seems a repetition of results. Please, re-write completely. Additional information on composition of manure and botanicals are required to be able to indicate the promising practical application. Please, take into account legislation about bio-fertilizers</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Fair	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M Giner
Department, University & Country	Spain