

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_113154
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of nutritional and sensory quality of functional extruded paneer produced from soy flour blended with reconstituted skim milk paneer
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript titled: "Evaluation of nutritional and sensory quality of functional extruded paneer produced from soy flour blended with reconstituted skim milk paneer" has been evaluated considering many points such as the scientific merit, article structure, organization of all sections, citations and reference according to good behaviour citation, significance of the study, the review work of the manuscript is summarized as following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is important for scientific community. It has significant health and economic benefits. - The title is suitable and complies with the aim and scope of European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety. - The Abstract needs REVISION to be more informative and concise. - The Article Structure needs REVISION for all sections. - Please CHECK Language, Word Processing, and Scientific Writing of all sections. - Please CHECK the Guidelines for Authors at: https://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors - The manuscript should be REVISED according to the Guidelines for Authors abovementioned. - Citations and References need revision to be edited in correct numerical Vancouver referencing style which is applied by the journal format. - The "Introduction" section needs REVISION to more organized in separate paragraph (3-4) instead of editing in only one long continuous section. - Please mention the complete recipe or formulation with all ingredients in percentages in gram unit per 100 grams of formulation and per serving unit in new Table. - The "Statistical Analysis" sub-section is missing as the last section in the "Materials and Methods". <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the statistical significance of your study??? • What is the method and software used for the statistical treatment of results??? • What is the number "n=??" of replicates of each test +/- standard deviation "SD" or standard error of the mean "SEM"??? • What is the confidence level "P-value" at which you conducted your measurements??? - Results: REVISION NEEDED. - Discussion: REVISION NEEDED. - Please MERGE the 2 separate sections in only one continuous section including both "Results and Discussion" as it is preferred for the reader to get results along with their discussion simultaneously in the same time instead on moving up and down between the 2 separate sections. - The "SENSORY CHARACTERISTICS" needs REVISION - The Color Measurement section is missing with complete methodology and equation of measurements of all color parameters; L, a, b, E, H, C, BI which are found in references of similar subjects. - Please CHECK the following 2 recent references related to your subject in order to improve your experimental section with revised results and discussion. Knowing that the authors have the complete right to use any references serving their study without forcing citations, you will find the 2 references with the following DOI which will help you to improve your methodology, results, and discussion sections (Article Structure – Color – Sensory -...): - https://doi.org/10.30721/fsab2023.v6.i2.258 - https://doi.org/10.30721/fsab2023.v6.i2.294 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please CHECK Language, Word Processing, and Scientific Writing of all sections. 	

Review Form 1.7

1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rania I. M. Almoselhy
Department, University & Country	Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt