

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_113980
Title of the Manuscript:	INVITRO WOUND HEALING EFFECT OF A SIDDHA FORMULATION – GANDHAGA THAILAM
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript investigates the wound healing potential of Gandhaga thailam, a Siddha formulation, using in vitro studies on mouse fibroblast cell lines. While the research addresses a significant aspect of wound healing, its importance for the scientific community lies in its potential to provide insights into alternative wound healing therapies and traditional medicinal practices. However, further validation through rigorous clinical studies and exploration of underlying mechanisms is warranted to fully assess its impact on clinical practice and advance wound healing research.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract of the article provides a concise overview of the research, including the definition of wounds, the physiological process of wound healing, and the objective of the study. It introduces Gandhaga thailam, the Siddha formulation under investigation, and outlines the methodology and results briefly. While the abstract covers the main aspects of the research, it could benefit from clearer articulation of the experimental design and key findings to enhance comprehensiveness. Additionally, including specific quantitative results or statistical outcomes would provide readers with a better understanding of the study's significance.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amol Bhalchandra Deore
Department, University & Country	MVP Samaj Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India