

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_113166
Title of the Manuscript:	Household wood requirements and the substitution potential of bamboo (<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i>) available to peasants in forest areas of Cameroon: a case study in Ebolowa 2
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. As per my Observation: Manuscript can help many researchers in finding ways of replacing wood with bamboo for house hold activities</p> <p>2. Title is suitable as per manuscript</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Structure including section and subsection of manuscript are suitable.</p> <p>5. Manuscript includes case study, shown in correct way using right method and software's.</p> <p>6. No. of reference are sufficient however try to add or replace reference with more recent references</p> <p>Observations are related to grammar and spelling of Manuscript. Ensure correct spelling and grammar of article using appropriate software</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Overall English quality of article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunny Dhiman
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh College of Pharmacy, India