

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_113929</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design of School Culture Management Model Based on Toraja Local Wisdom "Mangka Dolo Na Masiang"</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The paper "Design of School Culture Management Model Based on Toraja Local Wisdom "Mangka Dolo Na Masiang" can be considered for its originality in idea. This article makes an attempt to develop a model that can be used as a guideline for the school members in carrying out their duties and responsibility to run an active school in Indonesia.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract needs improvement and addition. Important details related to origin, methodology, results, and conclusion must be an integral part.</p> <p>Rationale, Objective, Hypothesis, Methodology (Provide details about the tools used), should mention clearly as different sub-section. Require improvements. Theoretical and practical implications linked to the research should be discussed in detail.</p> <p>In-text citation has done but did not find those in reference section.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current literature review lacks depth and synthesis and does not build-up to the objectives. Much more can be added. In so doing, please indicate what has already been done on this topic.</li> <li>• An emphatic section to advocate the need and importance of the study should be there, clearly explaining the rationale of the study.</li> <li>• Hypothesis should mention before the analytical part.</li> <li>• There is a lack of rigor in the analytical interpretation of results.</li> <li>• This paper didn't follow the APA7 referencing style.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anindita Bachhar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shimuruli Sachinandan College of Education, India</b>