

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_113624</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Case Report, Bullous Pemphigoid (BP) Covishield Vaccine Allergy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p><b>A Comprehensive Review of COVID-19 Vaccines, Adverse Reactions, and the Imperative of Early Diagnosis</b></p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Dear author(s)</p> <p>Abstract: Provide more context regarding the significance of exploring the link between bullous pemphigoid and COVID-19 vaccination. Why is this connection important?</p> <p>Introduction: 1- Some sentences are complex, and simplifying the language where possible can enhance reader understanding. 2- the introduction introduces the topic of adverse events, but specific details about the adverse effects caused by the Covishield vaccine are mentioned later. Consider briefly mentioning adverse events earlier to maintain focus and interest.</p> <p>Discussion: 1- In the discussion asserts that most adverse effects are due to the immunization action rather than allergic reactions. While this is valuable evidence, it might be beneficial to briefly elaborate on the nature of these immunization-related reactions for well comprehension. 2- While the importance of early diagnosis is emphasized, the conclusion lacks specific contextual examples or scenarios where early diagnosis has led to tangible benefits. Adding illustrative cases could make the point more compelling.</p> <p>References: Uniform references style</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hayder H. Abed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al Muthanna University, Iraq</b>