

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_111536</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AWARENESS OF FARM WOMEN TOWARDS ENTREPRENEURIAL DEVELOPMENT IN TUMKURU AND CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICTS OF KARNATAKA, INDIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is trying to analyse the awareness of entrepreneurial behaviour of women it seems and not development. The manuscript needs to be rechecked for spelling and construction of sentences. The abstract does not speak about sampling and methodology. Some sentences are incomplete or words are missing. Key word mentioned below the abstract like mean and percentage is not relevant. Introduction may include some review of recent literature. The methodology part may include research design as well well sampling framework. Selection of district and state need to be mentioned. Operationalisation of respondents would add more detail. Statistical tools as well as variables, including scales used not mentioned. The conclusion must conclude the study findings but here its some general lines written about the selected topic. In case of result and discussions the reason cited behind the finding is not actually the reason in many a place. It's just the finding written in another word. The researcher may include the true reason behind the findings. A few recommendations from the researcher's side would add value. Some more references may be added as plenty of work in this line is available.</p> <p>Women's awareness towards their entrepreneurial behaviour in Tumkuru and Chikaballapura district of Karnataka, India.</p> <p>Needs modification, details mentioned above.</p> <p>Introduction may include some review of literature. Methodology may include some details. Recommendation may be added.</p> <p>It's a scientific article. But the writing and construction to be modified.</p> <p>Given references are recent however some more can be added.</p> <p>The origin of the scales used need to be mentioned. Abstract and introduction can be modified. Details mentioned above. language to be revised. Spellings to be rechecked. Methodology is the most important chapter but given least space and importance. Its not complete in all aspect.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language/ English quality need to be revised.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sabita Mondal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Uttar Banga Krishi VIswavidyalaya, India</b>