

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111536
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS OF FARM WOMEN TOWARDS ENTREPRENEURIAL DEVELOPMENT IN TUMKURU AND CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICTS OF KARNATAKA, INDIA.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, the article is in need for present scientific society, as it discusses about the women empowerment field.</li> <li>2. No,</li> <li>3. No, the abstract is not at all comprehensive, abstract didn't mention the methodology section, has to discuss more about the sample selection procedure. The abstract is in general, need some particularity about the results. No where in the abstract, it is mentioned about these details, Tumkuru and Chikkaballapura districts, compared to other districts in the Agro Ecological Zone-8, Karnataka were selected for the study.</li> <li>4. No, what about literature review, there is no research gap, need some inductive understanding about the wholesome and India and particularity is missing. Discussion section is missing, conclusion is very short. What about the acknowledge. (there is lot of correction required)</li> <li>5. Yes, the article is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. No, need more references.</li> </ol> <p>The author should read women empowerment studies related to Naila Kabeer measurement of women empowerment framework 1998, study may help to increase the technicality of the paper.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The author has to work more on the grammar, the article need to revise, there are some minor grammatical mistakes (no capitals after the full stop or basic grammatical errors in the sentence)</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>B Mohan Nikhil Teja</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Technology, India</b>