

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_101115
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and standardization of functional bread fortified with bitter gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i>) powder
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, Its Scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, It's Suitable!</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, need to maintain front sizes and lining correct format.</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>The manuscript conforms to scientific guidelines and it is technically good.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors should cite recent publications for the introduction and to give more references. • Check thoroughly once again all table format readings. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I found spelling errors in manuscript. A through grammatical check, Space, Commas (,), spelling mistakes must be performed for the entire manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good work. It is essential to carry out <i>studies</i> in future to strongly support the results. I consider after reviewing the document that it is well structured and analyzed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Penke Vijaya Babu
Department, University & Country	India