

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Engineering Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JERR_113711 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Thermally Extracted Carrot Oil Using Biomass Solvent to Boost Consumer Goods: Box-Behnken Design Approach |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes. The manuscript is important for adding knowledge to the scientific community and it sounds good</p> <p>2. Yes. To some extend sounds healthy</p> <p>3. No .the abstract is not comprehensive, it needs modification</p> <p>4. No. even though the subsection are correct, some structure or placement of ideas should be re-adjusted. Or place changing required in internal structure placement of titles example title 3.1 and 3.2</p> <p>5. Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct and interesting</p> <p>6. Yes. sufficient</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes. For scholar communication the language is sufficient enough.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Generally, the article is very interesting and it adds knowledge to the scientific community and it is paramount in the global community but needs minor modifications to be accepted</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | Bikila Gebeyehu Eticha |
| Department, University & Country | Wollega University, Ethiopia |