

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_113411
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical, pathological and epidemiological aspects of Cephalopina titillator larval infestation in Camelus dromedaris of Rafsanjan region, Iran
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title is comprehensive but could be more reader-friendly. Consider simplifying it for a broader audience, ensuring that the main focus is easily understood by individuals outside the academic or specialized field. - Provide a clearer background on why studying Cephalopina titillator larval infestation in camels is significant, especially for non-experts. Explain the potential economic and health implications, making the introduction more accessible to a general audience. - While the methodology is adequately described, simplify technical terms and provide additional context for procedures. This will help readers without a scientific background to better grasp the research methods employed. - The results section is well-detailed, but consider incorporating visual aids such as charts or graphs to enhance understanding. Additionally, provide layman explanations for key findings to bridge the gap for non-expert readers. - The discussion section should focus on the broader implications of your findings. How does this research contribute to camel health, local communities, or even global perspectives? Aim to draw connections between your study and its real-world applications. - The conclusion should summarize the main findings in a straightforward manner. Avoid excessive technical jargon and emphasize the practical implications of your research. - Double-check the formatting of your references to comply with APA style 7th edition. Make sure all citations are accurate and align with the information provided. <p>-Cite these articles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Kakade, K., Patwardhan, R., & Pathade, G. (2023). Efficacy of Photorhabdus as a Promising Entomopathogenic Bacteria in the Eco-Friendly Biocontrol of White Grub Larvae. Int. J. Exp. Res. Rev., 35, 149-159. https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v35spl.014 - Ivanišová, E., Mihaľ, M., & Kolesárová, A. (2022). Edible insects – history, characteristics, benefits, risks and future prospects for use. Int. J. Exp. Res. Rev., 27, 69-74. https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2022.v27.008 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhanumati Sarkar
Department, University & Country	Acharya Prafulla Chandra College, India