

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_113595
Title of the Manuscript:	Arbuscular mycorrhization promotes quality stock production of <i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> Lamak.: A critically endangered source of agarwood
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. No, I suggest this title: "Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increased growth of <i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> under field Conditions"</p> <p>3. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English should be carefully checked by a native English speaker.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I have reviewed the article entitled: "Arbuscular mycorrhization promotes quality stock production of <i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> Lamak.: A critically endangered source of agarwood".</p> <p>I give a favorable recommendation to the publication of this manuscript, with nevertheless Minor revisions of the presented manuscript.</p> <p>Briefly, the main point I would like to highlight is this: The paper is more-less well written (needs some polishing). Some parts of the ms are not very smooth.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sawssen Hlaiem</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute for Research in Rural Engineering Water and Forest, Tunisia</b>