

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_113384
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE EFFECT OF TEACHER QUALIFICATION ON STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE IN MATHEMATICS INSTRUCTION IN ASUNAFO NORTH MUNICIPALITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Proficiency in mathematics is a prerequisite for proficiency in literacy. The effectiveness of teachers in both teaching and learning at the same time. Teacher competency is strongly correlated with literacy mastery. Thus, the community will benefit greatly from this study.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The discussion of the title introduction, research aims, methods of investigation, findings, and recommendations for further investigation brings this abstract to a conclusion.</p> <p>The sections have been divided appropriately. But the writing is excessively lengthy. I believe that another session of evaporation is necessary.</p> <p>obviously yes</p> <p>Seek out the most recent reference articles.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Naturally yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article needs to compress the details discussed due to the length is too long. Writing paragraphs has to be improved. No more than seven to ten lines for a paragraph. Additionally, using the most recent study reference is promoted.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasliza Binti Yunus
Department, University & Country	Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia