

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_113975
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Artificial Intelligence in Fisheries and Aquaculture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Major Concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors may elaborate more on the novelty/contribution of their work and how it 2. Authors need to be specific about their problem statement and the scope of their research. 3. Abstract: elaborate more on the problem statement, findings, and contributions. 4. Introduction is not clear. Authors may contribute more towards this. <p>Contributes to the literature in the second last paragraph of the introduction clearly.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Thorough proofreading is recommended. 6. A few of the figures are taken from the sources and are not cited properly, either they may be cited properly with permissions or may be removed/ redrawn. 7. The conclusion is not clear and needs revision and clarity and alignment with the abstract and title. <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your references are not listed in good style, as citation style is different from one paper to other. 2. some of your references are not complete please check. 3. Some citations (references) created in wrong manner (Please follow journal's criteria). <p>Authors are encouraged to base on recent references about the current development in blockchain technology. Moreover, technology collaborates with other technologies to create new paradigms, such as artificial intelligence, such machine learning, deep learning, with federated learning.</p> <p>Additionally, some important references have been neglected by the authors.</p> <p>(i) Khan, A. A., Shaikh, A. A., Shaikh, Z. A., Laghari, A. A., & Karim, S. (2022). IPM-Model: AI and metaheuristic-enabled face recognition using image partial matching for multimedia forensics investigation with genetic algorithm. <i>Multimedia Tools and Applications</i>, 81(17), 23533-23549.</p> <p>(ii) Khan, Abdullah Ayub, Zaffar Ahmed Shaikh, Laura Baitenova, Lyailya Mutaliyeva, Nikita Moiseev, Alexey Mikhaylov, Asif Ali Laghari, Sahar Ahmed Idris, and Hammam Alshazly. "QoS-ledger: Smart contracts and metaheuristic for secure quality-of-service and cost-efficient scheduling of medical-data processing." <i>Electronics</i> 10, no. 24 (2021): 3083.</p> <p>(iii) Khan, A. A., Laghari, A. A., & Awan, S. A. (2021). Machine learning in computer vision: a</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>review. EAI Endorsed Transactions on Scalable Information Systems, 8(32), e4-e4.</p> <p>Minor Concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction, the scientific problem of the existing evaluation is missing. There should initially be discussed the actual problem and then the research motivation. 2. Please highlight major contributions of this work in this current version, otherwise the current form shows weak/lack of novelty. 3. Please refine the language of this paper, such as avoid we, they, our, and other related words in this paper. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	N/A	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah Ayub Khan
Department, University & Country	Benazir Bhutto Shaheed University, Pakistan