

EFFECT OF NUTRIENT COMBINATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF BARLEY IN LATE SOWING CONDITION

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University Gangrar, Chittorgarh (Rajasthan) during Rabi season of 2022 to study the effect of nutrient combination on growth and yield attributes of barley in late sowing condition. Barley variety, RD-2035" was used as test crop in this study. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications consisting of ten treatments combinations i.e. T₁-Control, T₂-100% RDF, T₃-75% RDF + 2t FYM + 10 kg Zn, T₄-50% RDF + 4t FYM + 20 kg Zn, T₅-75% RDF + 1.5t Vermicompost, T₆-50% RDF + 3t Vermicompost, T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure, T₈-50% RDF + 2t Poultry manure, T₉-75% RDF + 1.5t Compost and T₁₀-50% RDF + 3t Compost. The increased growth parameter such as plant height (cm), total number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation were noticed with the application of 75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure. The application of 75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure increased all the yield parameters as compared to control.

Key words:-Barley; vermicompost; Poultry manure; Growth Parameters

Introduction

“Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the world’s fourth most important cereal crop after wheat, rice and maize. It is grown practically everywhere in the world because it is the most dependable crop in regions that have alkali, frost, or drought. China, Russia, Germany, the United States, Canada, India, Turkey, and Australia are the top exporters of barley. Barley grain is primarily used in the brewing industry to produce malt, which is then used to generate beer, industrial alcohol, whisky, malt syrups, brandy, malted milk, vinegar, and yeast. Each 100 gm of barley grain comprise 10.6 g protein, 2.1 g fat, 64 g carbohydrates, 50 mg calcium, 3 g crude fibre, 6 mg iron, 31 mg vitamin B₁, 0.10 mg vitamin B₂ and 50 µg folate” (Vaughan *et al.*, 2006). “Barley is superior to wheat in some minerals and fiber contents and also contains water soluble fiber and oil compound which are found to be effective in lowering cholesterol level of blood. Barley is grown on 757 thousand hectares area with 2045 thousand tones production and

average yield of 2663 kg ha⁻¹ and it is largely confined to North-West region” (India stat, 2021-22).

“Barley requires considerable amounts of major nutrients, particularly nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) for harnessing potential yield. Adequate mineral fertilization is considered to be one of the most important pre-requisites in this respect. Despite the application of recommended quantities of major nutrients, the increase in yield is not encouraging. In nutrient management, organic manures are potential sources of micro-nutrients, which improve soil structure by providing binding action to soil aggregates, water-holding and buffering capacity of soils. The FYM supplies all major nutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S,) necessary for plant growth, as well as micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn). Hence, it acts as a mixed fertilizer. The FYM improves soil physical, chemical and biological properties and soil water-holding capacity. Nitrogen management plays a key role in improving crop yield and quality, environmental safety and economics of based on their crop production. Concluded that not only increasing N fertilization rate but also N timing had a beneficial effect on grain yield and its quality. Nitrogen fertilizer rate and timing are the major tools available after planting for manipulating wheat growth and development to produce a greater grain yield per unit area” (Grewa *et al.* 2010).

“In recent years due to unsuitable effect of chemical fertilizers on the soil, using of organic materials serves as a good and suitable source to supply soil food elements. In addition to supply nutrients, organic manures may improve the soil health, physicochemical properties and biological conditions of the soil. Application of organic manures may improve availability of native nutrients in soil as well as the efficiency of applied fertilizers” (Katiyar, 2008). “Judicious use of FYM with chemical fertilizers improves soil physical, chemical and biological properties and improves the crop productivity” (Kumaret *al.* 2010). To build ecologically sound and economically viable farming systems integrated nutrient management (INM) is a viable option for wheat production as it utilizes available organic and inorganic nutrients. Keeping this in view of above facts, an attempt was made to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi season of 2022 at experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University Gangrar,

Chittorgarh (Rajasthan). Soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, saline in reaction with a pH value of 7.6, poor in organic carbon (0.16%), deficient in available zinc (0.48 ppm) and iron (1.2 ppm) low in available nitrogen (176 kg/ha) and phosphorus (20.2 kg/ha) but medium in available potassium (320 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications consisting of ten treatments combinations i.e. T₁-Control, T₂-100% RDF, T₃-75% RDF + 2t FYM + 10 kg Zn, T₄-50% RDF + 4t FYM + 20 kg Zn, T₅-75% RDF + 1.5t Vermicompost, T₆-50% RDF + 3t Vermicompost, T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure, T₈-50% RDF + 2t Poultry manure, T₉-75% RDF + 1.5t Compost and T₁₀-50% RDF + 3t Compost. Seed rate 100 Kg of Barley variety „ RD-2035“ was used in this study. the half dose of urea, DAP and potash were basally applied in plots according to the treatment assigned in each plot before sowing, and next dose of urea, DAP and potash given at the first irrigation.

Results and Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the extent of performance for several growth and yield traits. This Growth parameters include in present study such as plant height (cm), total number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation and yield traits viz., spike length, number of grains/spike, test weight, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index(%) of Barley.

Growth Attributes

The highest plant height was recorded at 30 DAS with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (30.56 cm). The lowest plant height was recorded with the treatment T₁-Control (21.36 cm). The highest plant height was recorded at 60 DAS with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (72.85 cm). The lowest plant height was recorded with the treatment T₁-Control (54.35 cm). The highest plant height was recorded at 90 DAS with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (95.36 cm). The lowest plant height was recorded with the treatment T₁-Control (74.36 cm). The highest plant height was recorded at harvest stage with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (96.78 cm). The lowest plant height was recorded with the treatment T₁-Control (78.36 cm). Similar findings were also found by Pareta *et al.* (2009), Gaur *et al.* (2003), Meena *et al.* (2017). The enhancement in plant height with increase dose of organic manure is attributed to the rapid conversion of synthesized carbohydrates into protein and

consequent to increase in the number and size of growing cells, resulting ultimately in increased plant height of wheat. These results are supported by the findings of Sepatet *et al.* (2010) who reported that the use of organic manures in combination with mineral fertilizers maximized the plant growth.

The highest dry matter accumulation was recorded at 30 DAS with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (42.36 g/m²). The lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (22.36 g/m²). The highest dry matter accumulation was recorded at 60 DAS with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (235.65 g/m²). The lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (138.36 g/m²). The highest dry matter accumulation was recorded at 90 DAS with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (655.45 g/m²). The lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (565.36 g/m²). The highest dry matter accumulation was recorded at harvest stage with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (885.32 g/m²). The lowest minimum dry matter accumulation was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (725.36 g/m²). Similar results were observed by Gaur *et al.* (2003), Kumawat *et al.* (2006), Meena *et al.* (2017).

The maximum total number of tillers was recorded at 30 DAS with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (69.45). The minimum total number of tillers was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (55.36). The maximum total number of tillers was recorded at 60 DAS with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (80.45). The minimum total number of tillers was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (61.54). The maximum total number of tillers was recorded at 90 DAS with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (93.45). The minimum total number of tillers was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (67.56). The maximum total number of tillers was recorded at harvest stage with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (91.45). The minimum total number of tillers was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (69.52). The maximum leaf area index was recorded with the treatment T_{7-75%} RDF + 1t Poultry manure (3.08). The minimum leaf area index was recorded with the treatment T_{1-Control} (1.61). Findings of Rao, (2007), Kumawat *et al.* (2006), Meena *et al.* (2017) supported such results.

Yield Attribute

The maximum spike length was recorded with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (7.69 cm). The minimum spike length was recorded with the treatment T₁-Control (5.69 cm). The maximum number of grains/spike was recorded with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (46.69). The minimum number of grains/spike was recorded with the treatment T₁-Control (29.45). Similar results were observed by Chestiet *al.*, (2013) Meena *et al.* (2017), and Shantveerayya *et al.*, (2017). Jat *et al.* (2023). The maximum number of grain yield was recorded with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (45.36 q/ha). The minimum grain yield was recorded with T₁-Control (23.14 q/ha). The maximum number of straw yield was recorded with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (75.36 q/ha). The minimum straw yield was recorded with T₁-Control (48.78 q/ha). The maximum number of biological yield was recorded with the treatment T₇-75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure (120.72 q/ha). The minimum biological yield was recorded with T₁-Control (71.92 q/ha). Similar findings were also found by Pareta *et al.* (2009), Gaur *et al.* (2003), Meena *et al.* (2017), Shantveerayya *et al.*, (2017), Singh *et al.* (2020), Jat *et al.* (2023).

Conclusion

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is an important winter (*rabi*) cereal crop of India. Being the most dependable crop in alkali soils and areas where frost or drought occurs, it is cultivated in almost all parts of the world. On the basis of experimental finding, it can be concluded that, higher growth and yield of the Barley variety „RD-2035“ can be obtained with the combined application of 75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure.

Table.1 Effect of different nutrient combination on barley at different growth stages

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | | | Dry matter accumulation (g/m ²) | | | | Total number of tillers (row/m ²) | | | | Leaf area index |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|------------|---|--------|--------|------------|---|--------|--------|------------|-----------------|
| | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest | |
| T ₁ -Control | 21.36 | 54.35 | 74.36 | 78.36 | 22.36 | 138.36 | 565.36 | 725.36 | 55.36 | 61.54 | 67.56 | 69.52 | 1.61 |
| T ₂ -100% RDF | 28.45 | 68.89 | 92.48 | 93.78 | 38.63 | 225.99 | 640.78 | 802.14 | 65.23 | 76.58 | 89.56 | 87.56 | 2.75 |
| T ₃ -75% RDF + 2t FYM + 10 kg Zn | 25.36 | 64.89 | 88.78 | 90.45 | 34.69 | 210.15 | 612.58 | 800.54 | 61.22 | 72.23 | 85.02 | 83.15 | 2.6 |
| T ₄ -50% RDF + 4t FYM + 20 kg Zn | 24.36 | 62.36 | 85.44 | 88.85 | 32.66 | 198.36 | 600.45 | 785.36 | 60.36 | 70.25 | 83.65 | 81.45 | 2.57 |
| T ₅ -75% RDF + 1.5t Vermicompost | 29.36 | 70.48 | 93.86 | 95.48 | 40.45 | 233.69 | 645.96 | 845.12 | 68.45 | 78.45 | 91.78 | 89.48 | 2.95 |
| T ₆ -50% RDF + 3t Vermicompost | 23.95 | 58.96 | 81.65 | 85.78 | 26.45 | 175.36 | 585.36 | 768.88 | 58.12 | 68.96 | 80.36 | 78.33 | 2.68 |
| T ₇ -75% RDF + 1t Poultry manure | 30.56 | 72.85 | 95.36 | 96.78 | 42.36 | 235.65 | 655.45 | 885.32 | 69.45 | 80.45 | 93.45 | 91.45 | 3.08 |
| T ₈ -50% RDF + 2t Poultry manure | 24.05 | 60.36 | 83.56 | 86.98 | 28.03 | 192.78 | 588.45 | 775.12 | 59.66 | 69.45 | 81.55 | 79.36 | 2.52 |
| T ₉ -75% RDF + 1.5t Compost | 26.45 | 66.85 | 90.47 | 91.48 | 36.45 | 215.36 | 625.55 | 830.25 | 62.36 | 74.66 | 87.89 | 85.25 | 2.65 |
| T ₁₀ -50% RDF + 3t Compost | 23.5 | 57.89 | 79.36 | 84.66 | 25.36 | 165.36 | 580.45 | 750.36 | 57.36 | 68.45 | 78.16 | 77.66 | 2.48 |
| SEm ± | 0.36 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 1.15 | 0.72 | 8.25 | 10.12 | 22.25 | 1.45 | 2.22 | 2.78 | 2.98 | 0.11 |
| CD at 5 % | 1.09 | 2.26 | 2.85 | 3.44 | 2.15 | 24.75 | 30.35 | 66.75 | 4.35 | 6.65 | 8.34 | 8.95 | 0.33 |
| CV% | 8.65 | 7.25 | 9.35 | 9.12 | 8.35 | 8.96 | 9.95 | 7.25 | 8.22 | 9.36 | 10.14 | 7.25 | 8.78 |




Fig.1 Effect of different nutrient combination on plant height of barley at different growth stages




Fig. 2 Effect of different nutrient combination on dry matter accumulation of barley at different growth stages




Fig. 3 Effect of different nutrient combination on total number of tillers of barley at different growth stages




Fig. 4 Effect of different nutrient combination on leaf area index of barle

Table.2 Effect of different nutrient combination on Yield attributes and yield of barley

| Treatments | Spike length (cm) | Number of grains/spike | Test weight (g) | Grain yield (q/ha) | Straw yield (q/ha) | Biological yield (q/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| T₁ : Control | 5.69 | 29.45 | 34.36 | 23.14 | 48.78 | 71.92 | 32.17 |
| T₂ | 7.34 | 42.44 | 43.36 | 42.58 | 68.96 | 111.54 | 38.17 |
| T₃ | 6.98 | 39.41 | 40.36 | 38.63 | 65.55 | 104.18 | 37.08 |
| T₄ | 6.85 | 37.88 | 38.35 | 36.45 | 63.45 | 99.9 | 36.49 |
| T₅ | 7.45 | 44.36 | 45.36 | 43.69 | 70.36 | 114.05 | 38.31 |
| T₆ | 6.58 | 34.69 | 36.45 | 33.69 | 60.45 | 94.14 | 35.79 |
| T₇ | 7.69 | 46.69 | 44.96 | 45.36 | 75.36 | 120.72 | 37.57 |
| T₈ | 6.78 | 36.54 | 37.36 | 34.69 | 62.45 | 97.14 | 35.71 |
| T₉ | 7.12 | 40.12 | 42.63 | 40.63 | 66.45 | 107.08 | 37.94 |
| T₁₀ | 6.45 | 33.63 | 35.36 | 32.14 | 58.56 | 90.70 | 35.44 |
| SE.m.± | 0.12 | 1.45 | 0.71 | 2.75 | 3.45 | 4.66 | 1.95 |
| CD | 0.36 | 4.35 | NS | 8.25 | 10.35 | 13.98 | NS |
| CV | 9.66 | 7.25 | 8.02 | 8.12 | 8.00 | 7.33 | 9.33 |




Fig 5. Effect of different nutrient combination on Yield attributes and yield of barley

References

Vaughan, J.G., Judd, P.A. and Bellamy, D. (2006). The oxford book of health foods. A comprehensive guide to natural remedies Publisher Oxford University- press great clarendon street, Oxford. <http://books.google.co.in/books> pp-37.

Grewal, H.S., (2010). Water uptake, water use efficiency, plant growth and ionic balance of wheat, barley, canola and chickpea plants on a sodic vertical with variable subsoil NaCl salinity. *Agriculture Water Mangement*, 97(3):148-156.

Katiyar AK, Uttam SK (2008) Effect of fertility levels and weed control practices on nutrient uptake and yield of rainfed barley in eroded soils of Central UP. *Ind Agriculturist* 52 : 17—21.

Kumar Sanjeet, Singh OP (2010) Response of wheat to different combination of integrated nutrient management under irrigated conditions. *Green Farming* 1 : 27—29.

Pareta DK, Ojha RK, David AA (2009) Response of N and Zn on physico-chemical properties of soil and yield of wheat under alluvial soil condition. *Environ Ecol* 27: 1895—1898.

Gaur NK, Sharma OP, Bhati TK (2003) Effect of fertility levels and Azotobacter inoculation on yield attributes and yield of barley. *Human impact on desert environ*, pp 329—332.

Ramawatar Meena, R. N. Meena, Rajesh Kumar Singh, Y. V. Singh, R. K. Meena (2017). Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield, Soil Fertility and Economics of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Environment & Ecology* 35 (3C) : 2361.

Sepat R.N., Rai R.K. and Shiva Dhar 2010. Planting system and integrated nutrient management for enhanced wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) productivity. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 55(2): 114—118.

Kumawat PD, Jat NL, Yadav SS (2006) Effect of organic manures and nitrogen fertilization on growth, yield and economics of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Ind J Agric Sci* 76 : 226—229.

Rao DLN (2007) Microbial diversity soil health and sustainability. *J Ind Soc Soil Sci* 55 : 392—403.

Shantveerayya, C.P., Mansur, Alagundagi, S.C. and Salakinkop, S.R. 2017. Nutrient dynamics and productivity of barley genotypes as influenced by INM and soil moisture conservation practices in rainfed condition of Southern India. *The Bioscan*11(4): 2,495–2,498.

Ravinder Singh, Kamalesh Kumar and Harpreet Singh. 2020. Agronomic Executability of Barley under a Combined Approach of Nutrient Management in Punjab. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(09): 1832-1837.

M.L. JAT, P.C. CHAPLOT, B.C. DHAYAL, S.N. MEENA AND REEMA. Integrated nutrient management in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) under central plateau and hills agroecological region. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 68 (1): 20__25 (March 2023)