

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_115163
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTRIBUTION OF JOB DESIGN AND ERGONOMICS CONSIDERATIONS ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN SERVICE SECTORS IN TANZANIA A QUALITATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I believe this paper fits the scope and requirements of your esteemed journal, I have reviewed the paper and realized that there should be some improvements, its methodology and author's implications.</p> <p>Suggested Title "CONTRIBUTION OF JOB DESIGN ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN SERVICE SECTORS IN TANZANIA: A QUALITATIVE STUDY"</p> <p>The abstract of the article, all aspects were covered.</p> <p>Structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References must be in APA style including the DOI numbers. Check all references throughout the text and ensure there is no missing references in the bibliographic list. Journal adheres the Mendeley's APA style. APA referencing Style (updated</p> <p>Additional Questions:</p> <p>1) Does the paper contribute to the body of knowledge? Yes</p> <p>2) Is the paper technically sound? Yes</p> <p>3) Is the subject matter presented in a comprehensive manner? Yes</p> <p>4) Are the references provided applicable and sufficient? Yes</p> <p>5) Are there references that are not appropriate for the topic being discussed? No</p> <p>5a) If yes, then please indicate which references should be removed.:</p> <p>Decision: Accept it with minor revision</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Illustration of values in figures and tables is very important. Check their numerical order throughout the text.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanele Ngcobo
Department, University & Country	IIE Rosebank College, South Africa