

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJ SSE_115074</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PRODUCTIVITY IMPROVEMENT OF ASSEMBLY LINE BY LINE BALANCING TECHNIQUE</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article covers the issues with assembly line balancing problem for a textile industry based in Pakistan, the article is problem-specific and therefore, be considered.</li> <li>2. Yes.</li> <li>3. Yes, but following improvements could be made; i- Line Balancing Technique has been mentioned but it would be better if there is a line stating what does this technique do, ii- non-value adding activities has been mentioned, it would be good to mentioned those non-value-added activities explicitly.</li> <li>4. Yes, but in the "Literature" section, it should be "Literature Review".</li> <li>5. The manuscript is problem-specific and is correct in that sense.</li> <li>6. It is better to add more articles in the literature review.</li> <li>7. Overall, writing style could be improved with better English. (Note: there are a some grammatical mistakes).</li> <li>8. Literature review could be improved in terms of writing style and also, by showing the existing literature on the issue.</li> <li>9. In "Literature", there are objective mentioned which are different than the "1.3 Objective of the study".</li> <li>10. Technical terms such as SAM (Structured Average Movement) and WIP, are used in the form of abbreviation in early stage of the paper including Methodology section, without providing the context, consider providing the context</li> <li>11. The objective of the study mentioned is as follows, "To streamline the assembly line process by minimizing excess manpower and machinery, thereby enhancing the efficiency", this could be improved. One alternative of saying "excess" could be "number of manpower and machiner .."</li> <li>12. In Data Collection and Analysis section, explicitly mention the data collection procedure followed.</li> <li>13. In Current Situation of the Company section, the table uses abbreviation without explicitly providing the context of them, e.g., M/C</li> <li>14. While comparing the machine requirement in table 1,2,7,8, it was mentioned that the M/C requirement at 85% is 11 but M/C requirement at 85% is 10.46, so I wonder if it is related to number of machines required, if yes, then how can we use 10.46 machine instead of 11 (as machines are being used as a whole).</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>English could be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anas Ma'ruf</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia</b>