

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115017
Title of the Manuscript:	Crush Tear Curl (CTC) green tea processing : A modified form of green tea for the tea lovers
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is stated in the introduction: "The existence of various tea cultivars under cultivation in North East India especially Assam, is believed to be highly rich in desirable bio constituents."</p> <p>The article title is too long (more than 15 words). It's best to shorten the title, for example: "CTC green tea processing: A modified form of green tea for the tea lovers"</p> <p>This abstract does not explain in detail about the research objectives, research design, research method, and research results.</p> <p>Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, and Conclusion are explained in detail, clearly and structured.</p> <p>Research results based on the experiment as stated in the materials and methods part: "Tea leaves were plucked manually from the experimental plot at 7 days interval during 2nd flush period (May/June) and processed in the same day at the Tea Processing Unit of the department." Therefore, the analysis is valid and can be accounted for.</p> <p>Using a reference for the last ten years (2014-2023), the percentage is very small, 24% (at least 70%).</p> <p>There is "Figure 1" after References, Figure 1. The appearance of CTC green tea (CGT) and orthodox green tea (OGT). (a) Roasting Time : 4 Minutes, Clone S3A3 Leaf standard : 2 L + B; (b) Steaming Time : 5 Minutes, Clone S3A3 ,Leaf standard : 2 L + B; (c) Roasting Time : 4 Minutes, Clone S3A3 ,Leaf standard : 2 L + B</p> <p>Suggestion: It is more suitable that the figure be moved to the "Materials and Methods" or "Results and Discussions" section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erni Puspanantasari Putri
Department, University & Country	Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Indonesia