

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_114698
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetic study of sodium dodecylsulfate degradation by solar heterogeneous photocatalysis based on tungsten oxide
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript important for scientific community. 2. Title is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some minor mistakes should be corrected.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In section 2.2 Method of analysis line no 2 WO3 should be corrected as WO₃ 2. Result and discussion section figure should be Figure1. 3. section 3.3 Experimental... Step 1 and step2 should be bold. 4. Table 1 should be bold figure int able there is ,comma after first figure I think it should be full stop. 5. In section3.4 verification of.... line three $k_1=1.65 \times 10^{-2}$ and in line five $k_1=1.72 \times 10^{-2}$ The high kappa constant ...below line 1.58×10^{-2} 7. Figure 5 should be bold. 8. In setion 3.5 last line rate v. full stop required. 9. Figure 6,Figure 7,Figure 8 should be bold. <p>All correction should be maid .before puplication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhausahab Karbhari Magar
Department, University & Country	Shivaji Arts Commerce And Science College, India