

Identification of traits associated with drought tolerance in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*L.)

ABSTRACT:

The cotton genotypes studied under greenhouse conditions at seedling stage during *khariif*, 2022-23 at RARS, Nandyalviz; NDLH-1935 ,NDLH-1943, NDLH-1949, NDLH-1976, NDLH-1979, NDLH-2019, Sivanandi and NDLH-2005-4 recorded comparatively higher mean values for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative water content and root/shoot ratio both under controlled and moisture-stress conditions out of 30 genotypes studied. Moderate to low estimates of variability, high heritability coupled with high genetic advance over mean under controlled and moisture-stress conditions revealed the importance of additive gene action for all the characters studied. Primary root length had positive and significant association with shoot length), root dry weight and root/ shoot ratio under controlled conditions at phenotypic level while the same character showed negative correlations under drought stress conditions. Shoot length had non significant associations with all the characters studied under both the conditions. The associations between shoot dry weight and root dry weight and root dry weight with root/ shoot ratio were significant and positive association at phenotypic level under controlled and moisture-stress conditions, respectively. Relative water content had non significant associations whereas root/ shoot ratio showed positive and significant associations with primary root length and non significant associations with all other characters under both the conditions. Primary root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and root/shoot ratio may be considered as important parameters of drought tolerance while selecting genotypes for improving drought tolerance ability in upland cotton.

Comment [ZK1]: No need to be italic

Comment [ZK2]: Add values and figures of the results you have drawn

Comment [ZK3]: Add values atleast of some major traits\

Key words: Upland Cotton, Seedling characters, Drought tolerance, genetic parameters, correlation analysis

INTRODUCTION

Cotton is an important commercial crop mostly grown under rainfed conditions in Andhra Pradesh in an area of 6.02 lakh hectares with a production of 17.85 lakh metric tonnes of lint and productivity of 504kg/ha (DES. A.P. Govt, 2021-22). Hence, the chances of crop experiencing drought at any one stages of the crop growth are more. Therefore, development of drought-tolerant cultivars to achieve economic yield under rainfed conditions is one of the major objective in cotton improvement. It is one of the most important abiotic stress factor that cause significant product loss in cotton. Drought stress affects photosynthesis, stomatal regulation, reduction in root –shoot growth, leaf area, transpiration and osmo-regulation. Several molecular, biochemical, physiological, morphological and ecological traits and processes of plants are impaired under drought conditions (Jayant *et al.* 2022). Root growth, shoot length, shoot dry weight, leaf area, relative water content, stomatal density and stomatal conductance are affected significantly under drought stress (Veesare *et al.* 2021). They also reported that relative water content has been considered as a reliable selection criteria for drought tolerance as drought tolerant genotypes retain higher water content under moisture stress conditions in the leaf tissue. Drought stress for longer period affects plant biomass, stem weight, plant development, plant population, crop growth, boll size, kappas yield and lint quality. The seedling, flowering and boll formation are the moistures sensitive periods in cotton. The seedling stage is highly sensitive period of crop to environmental conditions in cotton. The first plant organ that is exposed to water stress is the roots which in turn transmit the stress to the plant through morphological, physiological and metabolic changes. Roots are the sensors of plants, detect osmotic stress and thus roots play a role in the plant drought tolerance mechanism (Mahamood and Muhammad, 2022). Plants with deep root systems show better drought tolerance and improve water and nitrogen uptake. Variability among genotypes for drought tolerance for root growth characters viz; root length and root dry weight and shoot length, shoot dry weight under drought conditions was reported in cotton (Naidu *et al.* 1995; Basal *et al.* 2005; Singh *et al.* 2018 and Jayant *et al.* 2022). Positive and significant associations among shoot and root characters were reported by Reddy *et al.* 2017 and Iqbal *et al.* 2020). *Gossypium hirsutum* is sensitive to abiotic stress conditions whereas

Comment [ZK4]: Not scientific term

Gossypium arboreum has more biotic and abiotic stress tolerance and adaptation (Liu *et al.* 2006). In the present study assessment of drought stress tolerance ability of predominantly cultivated upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L) genotypes at the seedling stage was carried out so as to identify the characters associated with drought tolerance and the genotypes possessing drought tolerance.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out during *Kharif*, 2022-23 at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Nandyal, A. P located at 15° 29' N latitude and 78° 29' E longitude from an altitude of 211.76 M above sea level involving 30 genotypes in a completely randomized design with three replications both under stress (treatment) and non-stress (control) conditions. The experiment was conducted at the green house conditions. Watering was given till the development of the first true leaf in both the conditions. Thereafter, daily watering was given to genotypes grown under controlled conditions. Water stress condition was created by completely withholding water supply to the genotypes grown under stress conditions. The experiment was continued out for 45 days (August to September, 2022) from the date of emergence of the seedlings as per the standard procedure (Dahab *et al.* 2016). Data on primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and relative water content were recorded on five randomly selected plants. Root to shoot ratio was determined based on shoot and root dry weights. Statistical analysis was carried out as per Panse and Sukhatme (1985). Relative water content was determined adapting methodologies of Clark and Townley-Smith (1986). Correlation coefficients were estimated as suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959). Genetic parameters were calculated as per standard methods of Burton (1952), Lush (1940) and Johnson *et al.* (1955).

Comment [ZK5]: Not needed daily

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance indicated existence of significant differences among the genotypes for all characters studied at seedling stage both under moisture stress and controlled conditions. Mean, range, coefficients of variability, estimates of heritability and expected genetic advance as percent mean recorded for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative water content and root-shoot/ratio and phenotypic correlations under moisture stress and controlled conditions were presented in Table 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Comment [ZK6]: Where are the tables?

Primary root length (cm plant-1)

Primary root length under controlled conditions ranged from 12.88 (NDLH-1979) to 28.64 cm (NDLH-1931) with a mean of 19.14 cm while under moisture-stress conditions, it ranged from 15.24 (NDLH-1866) to 31.18 cm (NDLH-1976) with a mean of 21.40 cm (Table 1). All the genotypes except NDLH1931, NDLH1979 and NDLH 1928 recorded lower mean values for this character under moisture stress conditions compared to controlled conditions. Estimates of PCV (16.68% and 14.37%) and GCV (16.50% and 14.17%) were moderate under controlled and moisture stress conditions, respectively. High heritability (98.00 and 97.17%) and genetic advance as a per cent of mean (33.67% and 28.76%) were noted for primary root length under both the conditions (Table 2 and 3). High heritability and high genetic advance as per cent of mean coupled with moderate variability suggests that additive type of gene action is operating for this character. Hence, Primary root length can be easily fixed by simple selection in the early generations aimed at developing improved cotton genotypes possessing tolerance to drought.

Shoot length (cm plant-1)

A mean value of 39.35 cm was recorded for this character ranging from 24.70 (NDLH-1905) to 51.08 cm (Sivanandi) under controlled conditions while it varied from 13.98 (Narasimha) to 28.94 cm (NDLH-2019) with a mean of 22.04 under moisture stress conditions (Table 1). All the genotypes registered lower mean values for this character under moisture stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1979, NDLH2019, NDLH1935 and NDLH1943 recorded higher values compared to other genotypes under moisture stress conditions. Moderate values of PCV (14.23% and 17.87%) and GCV (13.96% and 17.69%), high heritability (97.12% and 97.98%) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (28.32% and 36.06%) were recorded both under controlled and under moisture-stress conditions for shoot length (Table 2 and 3). Moderate estimates of PCV and GCV along with high heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean indicate that shoot length is mostly governed by additive gene action.

Shoot dry weight (g plant-1)

This character ranged from 5.20 (NDLH-1984) to 9.85 g plant-1 (Sivanandi) among the genotypes with a mean of 8.25 g plant-1 under controlled conditions whereas under moisture-stress conditions it varied from 1.60 (NDLH-2028) to 2.82 g plant-1 (NDLH-2005-4) with a mean of 2.24 g plant-1 (Table 1). All the genotypes registered lower mean values for this character under moisture stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1989, NDLH 2019, NDLH1935, NDLH1976,

NDLH1949, NDLH 2005-4 and Sivanandi recorded higher values compared to other genotypes under moisture stress conditions. Moderate estimates of PCV (14.16% and 19.82%) to GCV (13.95% and 19.59%) and higher heritability (97.12% and 97.73%),) and genetic advance (28.32% and 39.90%) were recorded for shoot dry weight (Table 2 and 3). High genetic advance over mean and high heritability observed under both the conditions suggest that shoot dry weight is governed by additive gene action of higher GCV, PCV, heritability and genetic advance for this character in cotton.

Root dry weight (g plant-1)

Root dry weight ranged from 0.80 (NDLH-2019) to 2.01 g plant-1 (NDLH-1949) with a mean value of 1.44 g plant-1 under controlled conditions while it ranged from 0.30 (NDLH-1866) to 0.70 (Sivanandi) g plant-1 with a mean of 0.49 g plant-1 under moisture-stress conditions (Table 1). All the genotypes registered lower mean values for this character under moisture stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1935, NDLH 1984, NDLH2013, NDLH1949, NDLH 2005-1, NDLH 2005-4 and Sivanandi recorded higher values compared to other genotypes under moisture stress conditions. Higher estimates of heritability (98.62% and 93.01%) and genetic advance as a percentage of mean values (44.48% and 28.28%) and lower values of PCV (9.54% and %) and GCV (9.20% and %) registered under controlled and moisture-stress conditions for this character suggests that root dry weight under the control of additive gene action (Table 2 and 3).

Relative water content (%)

Relative water content varied from 27.03 (NDLH-1992) to 72.67 (NDLH-2028-2) with a mean value of 44.87 per cent under controlled conditions and it varied from 20.05 (NDLH-2004) to 61.22 (NDLH-2005-4) with an average of 32.58 per cent under moisture stress conditions (Table 1). All the genotypes registered lower mean values for this character under moisture stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1979, NDLH1931, NDLH1935, NDLH1959, NDLH1976, NDLH 2028, NDLH 2005-4, NDLH1971, NDLH 1905, NDLH 1943 and Sivanandi recorded higher values compared to other genotypes under moisture stress conditions. Higher estimates of PCV (24.67% and 25.22%), GCV (24.54% and 25.01%), heritability (96.40% and 98.30%) and genetic advance over mean (30.28% and 51.07%) were recorded under both the conditions revealed that relative water content (RWC) is governed by additive gene action (Table 2 and 3). Hence, RWC could be improved by simple selection.

Root to shoot ratio

Root to shoot ratio ranged from 0.13 (NDLH-1866, NDLH-1979) and NDLH-1992) to 0.22 (NDLH-2005-4) with an average of 0.17 under controlled conditions while it varied from 0.16 (NDLH-2019) to 0.29 (NDLH-1976) with a mean of 0.22 under moisture-stress conditions (Table 1). In general, all the genotypes under moisture stress conditions recorded higher root to shoot ratio values for this character. Moderate values of PCV (14.98% and 15.91%) and GCV (14.76% and 15.68%), higher estimates of heritability (97.15% and 97.15%) coupled with higher genetic advance as per cent of mean (29.98% and 31.84%) were registered under controlled and moisture stress conditions, respectively for root shoot ratio suggests the importance of additive gene action (Table 2 and 3). Hence, root to shoot ratio could be manipulated through simple selection in the early generations.

Moderate estimates of PCV and GCV, high heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean for primary root length by Irum *et al.* (2011), Riaz *et al.* (2013), Farooq *et al.* (2018) and Abdelmoghny *et al.* (2020); Irum *et al.* (2011) and Farooq *et al.* (2018) for shoot length; Handi and Katageri (2016) and Farooq *et al.* (2018) for shoot dry weight; low PCV and GCV but high heritability and genetic advance for root dry weight by Riaz *et al.* (2013), Abdelmoghny *et al.* (2020) and Parre and patil, 2021) and moderate estimates of PCV and GCV, high heritability and genetic advance by Riaz *et al.* (2013) and Abdelmoghny *et al.* (2020) for relative water content and moderate estimates of PCV and GCV, high heritability and genetic advance for root to shoot ratio were reported in cotton. The present findings of moderate coefficients of variation coupled with high heritability and genetic advance observed suggests that all the above characters were governed by additive gene action and amenable for simple selection.

In the present study the genotypes *viz.*, NDLH-1935, NDLH-1943, NDLH-1949, NDLH-1976, NDLH-1979, NDLH-2019, Sivanandi and NDLH-2005-4 recorded comparatively higher mean values for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and relative water content both under controlled and moisture-stress conditions and can be used as parental lines in breeding for drought tolerance as tolerant genotypes can increase growth rate, maintain growth and encourage root growth under drought stress. Variability among cotton genotypes as registered in the present study for root growth characters were also reported by Basal *et al.* (2005), Singh *et al.* (2018) and Jyoti *et al.* (2021) while Iqbal *et al.* (2011), Parida *et al.* (2017) and Zou *et al.* (2020) for root, stem and RWC characters in cotton under stress conditions. Pace *et al.* (1999) observed a significant increase in root thickness and root length in seedling stage under drought stress than

control after the drought recovery. A reduction in root to shoot ratio under controlled conditions and higher values under moisture stress conditions reveal the response of genotypes to favourable and less favourable environments, respectively. Jayant et al. (2022) indicated that no single index can fully and accurately evaluate the drought resistance of crops and hence to identify reliable parameters of drought tolerance. Du and Huang (2011) considered more than 20 physiological and biochemical indices for determining drought tolerance in cotton. Reduction in shoot and root growth characters under drought stress in some of the genotypes in the present study could be due to less assimilation and reduction in the uptake of nutrients by roots due to low water potential under osmotic stress.

Correlation analysis

Simple phenotypic correlation coefficients worked out between and among the characters indicated that primary root length had positive and significant association with shoot length (0.03105), root dry weight (0.3294) and root/shoot ratio (0.3668) under controlled conditions while the same character showed either negative or non-significant correlations under drought stress conditions. Shoot length had non-significant associations with all the characters studied under both conditions. The associations between shoot dry weight and root dry weight (0.7168 and 0.5204) and root dry weight with root/shoot ratio (0.8115 and 0.5119) were significant and positive under controlled and moisture-stress conditions, respectively. Relative water content had non-significant associations whereas root/shoot ratio showed either non-significant or negative associations with all the characters studied under both the conditions. Associations among root and shoot growth characters have significant effects on enduring stress conditions. Hence, primary root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and root/shoot ratio may be considered as important parameters of drought tolerance while selecting genotypes for improving drought tolerance ability in upland cotton. Similar observations were made by Basal et al. (2015), Maruti and Katageri (2015), Manjunath et al. (2017), Reddy et al. (2017), Mvula et al. (2018) and Veesarat et al. (2021) in cotton.

Comment [ZK7]: Not scientific term

CONCLUSIONS

The genotypes viz., NDLH-1935, NDLH-1943, NDLH-1949, NDLH-1976, NDLH-1979, NDLH-2019, Sivanandi and NDLH-2005-4 were found to be superior genotypes for inclusion in breeding programmes aimed at development of high yielding genotypes with drought tolerance ability under moisture stress conditions. Additive gene action was found to be predominant for primary root

length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative water content and root to shoot ratio both under controlled and moisture stress conditions. Primary root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and root/shoot ratio may be considered as important parameters while selecting genotypes for drought tolerance ability in upland cotton.

REFERENCES

1. Abdelmoghny, A.M., Raghavendra, K.P., Sheeba, J.A., Santosh, H.B., Meshram, J.H., Singh, S.B., Kranthi, K.R and Waghmare, V.N. 2020. Morpho-physiological and molecular characterization of drought tolerance traits in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. genotypes under drought stress. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* .26, 2339-2353.
2. Basal, H ; Smith, C.W ; Thaxton P.S. and Hemphill ,J.K .2005. Seedling drought tolerance in upland cotton . *Crop Science* .45, 766-771.
3. Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proceedings of the 6th International Grassland Congress*. 277-283.
4. Clarke, J.M. and Townley-Smith T.F. 1986. Heritability and relationship to yield of excised leaf water retention in durum wheat. *Crop Sciences*. 26: 289-292.
5. Dahab, A.A., Saeed, M., Hamza, N.B., Mohamed, B.B and Husain, T. 2016. Linkage disequilibrium and association mapping of drought tolerance in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) germplasm population from diverse regions of Pakistan. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 15 (46): 2603- 2612.

6. DES. A.P. Govt(2021-22). Estimates of cotton area , production and productivity in Andhra Pradesh for the year 2022-23.
7. Dewey, D.R and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal*. 51 (9): 515-518.
8. Du, C.L. and Huang, G.W. 2011 Research progress of major identification indicators in the cotton drought tolerance . *Chinese Agriculture Science Bulletin* 27, 17-20.
9. Farooq, M.A., Shakeel, A., Atif, R.M and Saleem, M.F. 2018. Genetic Variability Studies for Salinity Tolerance in *Gossypium hirsutum*. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 20 (12): 2871-2878.
10. Handi, S.S and Katageri I.S. 2016. Genetic variability for root and shoot traits under moisture stress in *G. hirsutum* cotton. *Research in Environment and Life Sciences*. 9 (5): 546-549.
11. Iqbal,K; Muhammad, F and Ahmud khan , I. 2011. Variabilty for drought tolerance in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*L.) and its genetic basis. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* . 13(1), 61-66.

12. Iqbal, K ; Azhar, FM; Khan, IA ;Ullah, E .2010. Assessment of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) germplasm under water stress condition. *International Journal of Agricultural Biology*. 12 , 251-255.
13. Irum, A., Tabasum, A and Iqbal, M.Z. 2011. Variability, Correlation and Path coefficient analysis of seedling traits and yield in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 10 (79): 18104-18110.
14. Jayant, H.M; Suman Bala Singh; Raghavendra , K.P and Waghare , V. N. 2022. Drought stress. tolerance in cotton . Progress and perspectives . Science Direct 135-139.
15. Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F and Comstock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. *Agronomy Journal*. 47: 314-318.
- 16..Jyoti, J.G., Valu, M.G and Geeta, O.N. 2021. Correlation, path coefficient and D2 analysis study of seed cotton yield and fibre quality traits in American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 10 (3): 222-230.
17. Liu, D ;Guo, X ;Lin, Z ; Mie, Y and Zhang, X .2006. Genetic diversity of Asiatic Genetic Resources Crop Evolution .55, 1145-52.
- 18 .Lush, J.L. 1940. Intra-sirecorrelation on regression of off spring on dams as a method of estimating heritability of characters. *Proceedings of American Society for Animal Production*. 33: 392-401.

19. Manjunath, C. P., Rajesh, S. P., Aravindakumar, B.N and Mummigatti, U.V. 2017. Breeding for Drought Tolerance in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) with an Emphasis on Physiological Parameters. *Vegetos- An International Journal of Plant Research*. 30: 424-428
20. Maruti, L and Katageri, I. S. 2015. Genetic influence of root traits of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) on moisture stress tolerance. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 28 (4): 454-458.
21. Mahamood, T and Muhammad, S.I. 2022. Differential seedling growth and tolerance indices reflect drought tolerance in cotton. *BMC Plant Biology*. 22.
23. Mvula, J ; James ,M ;Bokosi ,V.K ; Banda, M.H.P .2018. Screening cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes for drought tolerance under screen house conditions in Malawi. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*. 10(2) , 48-57.
- 24 .Naidu, P., Cameron, D.F and Konduri, S.V. 1995. Improving drought tolerance of cotton by glycine betaine application and selection. *Proceedings of the 9th Australian Agronomy Conference*. 1- 5.
25. Pace, P.F ;Cralle , H.T; El-Halawany, S.H.M; Cothren , J.T. and Senseman ,S.A. 1999. Drought-induced changes in shoot and root growth of young cotton plants. *The Journal of Cotton Science*. 3, 183-187.

26. . Panse, V. G and Sukhatme, P. V. 1961. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 2nd Edition ICAR, New Delhi. pp: 361. Panse, V.G and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. *ICAR Publication*. 87-89.
27. Parida, A.K; Dagaonkar, V.S and Aurangabadkar, L.P.2007. Alterations in photosynthetic pigments, protein and osmotic components in cotton genotypes subjected to short term drought stress followed by recovery . *Plant Biotechnology Reports* 1, 37-38.
- 28.Parre, S and Patil, R.S. 2021. Genetic variability studies in early segregation generation (F3) of intra-hirsutum cotton hybrids of Line x Tester crosses under the rain-fed situation. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 10 (3): 951-955.
29. Reddy, K.R ;Brand, D ; Wijewardana , C and Gao, W .2017. Temperature effects on cotton seedling emergence growth and development . *Agronomy Journal* 109, 1379-87.
- 30.Riaz, M., Farooq, J., Sakhawat, G., Mahmood, A., Sadiq, M.A and Yaseen, M. 2013. Genotypic variability for root/shoot parameters under water stress in some advanced lines of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Genetics and Molecular Research*. 12 (1): 552-561.
31. Singh, B ; Vorvell, E ; Wijewardana, C ; Wallace, T ; Chastain , D and Reddy , K.R. 2018. Assessing morphological characteristics of elite cotton lines from

different breeding programmes for low temperature and drought tolerance.
Journal of American Crop Science .467-76.

32.Veesar, N.F ; Jatoi, W.A; Memo,S; Gandhi,N; Aisha,G and Solangi, A.H. 2020.
Evaluation of cotton genotypes for drought tolerance and their correlation studies at
seedling stage . Biomedical Journal of Scientific and Technical Research ISSN 2574-
1241.

33..Zhou, J; Wei, H; Yu-xia, L; Jia-qi, H.F; Hong, H.Z and Zhi-guo, Z. 2020.
Screening of drought tolerance indices and evaluation of drought resistance in cotton
(*Gossypium hirsutum* L.).Journal of Integrated Agriculture 19(2), 495-508.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Estimates of mean, variability, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as per cent of mean for drought tolerance related traits under control conditions in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) during kharif, 2022-23

S.No.	Character	Mean	Range		Coefficient of variation		Heritability (broad sense) (%)	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
			Minimum	Maximum	PCV (%)	GCV (%)		
1	Shoot length	39.35	20.46	56.08	14.387	14.181	97.20	28.793
2	Root length	18.81	12.88	23.06	17.87	17.689	98.00	36.069
3	Root dry weight	1.44	0.8	2.01	19.823	19.597	97.70	39.91
4	Shoot dry weight	8.25	5.2	9.85	9.544	9.205	93.00	18.287
5	Root to shoot ratio	0.17	0.13	0.22	25.222	25.007	98.30	51.075
6	Relative water content	44.87	27.03	72.67	15.242	14.968	96.40	30.28

Table 2: Estimates of mean, variability, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as per cent of mean for drought tolerance related traits under moisture stress conditions in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) during kharif, 2022-23

S.No	Character	Mean	Range		Coefficient of variation		Heritability (broad sense) (%)	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
			Minimum	Maximum	PCV (%)	GCV (%)		
1	Shoot length	19.35	12.06	27.36	16.679	16.516	98.10	33.69
2	Root length	21.26	15.24	31.18	14.229	13.96	96.30	28.215
3	Root dry weight	0.49	0.3	0.3	14.156	13.95	97.10	28.319
4	Shoot dry weight	2.24	1.6	2.82	21.897	21.745	98.60	44.485
5	Root to shoot ratio	0.22	0.16	0.29	24.669	24.544	99.00	50.307
6	Relative water content	32.5	20.05	61.22	15.242	14.968	96.40	30.28

Table 3: Mean performance of drought tolerance related traits of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under control and moisture-stress conditions

S.No	Genotype	Shoot length		Root length		Root dry weight		Shoot dry weight		Root to shoot ratio		Relative water content	
		Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment
1	NDLH-2008	39.98	25.78	17.78	18.40	1.24	0.91	3.27	2.03	0.17	0.20	46.67	26.80
2	NDLH-1979	41.28	27.88	12.88	16.94	1.02	0.97	3.81	2.21	0.13	0.21	40.38	36.63
3	NDLH-2019	41.08	28.94	18.56	21.94	0.80	0.92	2.62	1.80	0.14	0.16	61.70	39.13
4	NDLH-1989	39.80	23.82	15.82	16.92	1.11	0.99	2.92	2.60	0.16	0.19	45.83	36.80
5	NDLH-1866	37.42	21.24	12.94	15.24	1.21	0.80	3.12	1.85	0.13	0.16	42.22	39.09
6	NDLH-1993	34.04	20.54	13.92	20.54	1.15	0.94	3.53	1.61	0.15	0.27	37.00	27.00
7	NDLH-1931	43.72	25.40	28.64	31.18	1.81	0.93	2.42	1.75	0.19	0.25	48.05	36.84
8	NDLH-1932	34.90	18.46	19.14	20.20	1.62	0.95	4.81	2.12	0.18	0.21	50.00	30.00
9	NDLH-1935	44.70	27.06	23.06	24.38	1.10	0.92	2.20	1.62	0.16	0.23	44.68	43.64
10	NDLH-1959	39.30	25.16	19.00	21.84	1.62	0.98	2.82	1.40	0.16	0.20	38.18	36.49
11	NDLH-1969	41.60	25.04	21.82	22.04	1.24	0.91	4.13	2.32	0.15	0.17	42.00	41.00
12	NDLH-2013	37.86	19.72	17.12	18.78	1.21	1.11	3.61	2.43	0.16	0.25	50.98	27.85
13	NDLH-1976	30.24	16.78	17.14	19.44	1.24	1.15	4.82	2.62	0.14	0.25	53.40	23.23
14	NDLH-1984	20.46	21.20	19.36	21.30	1.12	1.05	2.20	1.20	0.19	0.29	31.51	29.02
15	NDLH-1992	54.68	19.10	19.10	23.10	1.21	0.93	4.00	2.40	0.13	0.18	27.03	25.83
16	NDLH-2004-3	35.98	19.82	20.98	23.00	1.43	0.97	3.60	2.10	0.18	0.22	35.09	30.74
17	NDLH-2028	32.36	18.50	17.14	24.56	1.70	0.92	3.20	1.60	0.21	0.26	43.55	37.24
18	NDLH-1971	37.50	19.58	22.50	23.64	1.41	0.96	4.60	2.20	0.16	0.21	71.93	37.06
19	NDLH-1905	29.70	19.79	21.80	23.20	1.27	0.90	3.63	2.06	0.17	0.19	33.33	24.75
20	NDLH-1943	49.48	27.20	18.72	19.06	1.83	1.01	5.12	2.41	0.20	0.21	36.90	30.15
21	NDLH-1949	45.42	20.50	20.44	23.50	2.01	1.14	5.42	2.60	0.21	0.25	34.03	29.23
22	NDLH-2004	34.70	18.80	17.00	17.32	1.71	1.02	5.63	2.50	0.18	0.21	38.20	20.05
23	NDLH-1313	37.08	20.28	18.98	21.36	1.42	0.93	5.11	2.43	0.16	0.18	36.76	35.60
24	NDLH-1928	39.56	24.06	21.30	23.66	1.25	0.92	3.80	1.81	0.16	0.23	42.86	20.05
25	NDLH-2005-4	44.08	24.18	21.00	23.40	1.83	1.12	4.45	2.82	0.22	0.22	43.01	28.24
26	NDLH-2051-1	37.18	23.00	19.92	20.80	1.65	1.00	3.21	2.20	0.18	0.23	63.16	26.88
27	NDLH-2028-2	41.20	14.50	16.78	20.02	1.81	1.11	3.60	2.16	0.21	0.28	72.67	61.22
28	Narasimha	40.08	13.98	20.60	23.06	1.61	0.91	3.22	1.82	0.20	0.23	50.00	28.80
29	Sivanandi	56.08	25.36	20.96	21.52	1.98	1.20	4.85	2.81	0.20	0.25	37.50	33.88
30	Srirama	39.16	25.44	19.94	21.58	1.64	1.00	4.62	1.81	0.19	0.28	47.31	31.72
	Mean	39.35	22.04	19.14	21.40	1.44	0.99	2.81	2.11	0.17	0.22	44.87	32.50

Range Lowest	20.46	13.98	12.88	15.24	0.80	0.80	2.20	1.20	0.13	0.16	27.03	20.05
Range Highest	56.08	28.94	28.64	31.18	2.01	1.20	5.63	2.82	0.22	0.29	72.67	61.22
SE m	0.72	0.32	0.26	0.30	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.003	0.003	0.65	0.63
C.D. 5%	2.03	0.91	0.73	0.84	0.06	0.04	0.18	0.10	0.007	0.010	1.83	1.80
CV	3.15	2.53	2.33	2.39	2.61	2.47	2.94	2.91	2.578	2.653	2.50	3.38

UNDER PEER REVIEW