

## **Effect of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels on growthyield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in inceptisol**

### **Abstract**

A field study was conducted to know the “Effect of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels on growth, yield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in inceptisol.”The experiment was laid in FactorialRandomizedBlockDesign(FRBD)withsixteentreatments,replicated thriceduring *rab*iseason of 2019-20 atthe DepartmentResearch Farm of SSAC, College of Agriculture, Latur.The treatments comprises four main (absolute control,*Bacillusmegaterium*,*Aspergillusniger* and*Aspergillusawamori*@ 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed treatment) and four sub treatments(0,45,60 and 75P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).The results indicated that,the incorporationofphosphatesolubilizingmicroorganismsviz.*Aspergillusawamori*@ 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seedin combination with soil application of 75 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup>found to be effective in improving growth and yield attributing characters viz. number of rootnodules, fresh and dry weight, chlorophyll content , grain yield and straw yield as compared to *Aspergillusniger* and*Bacillus megaterium*alongwith 60 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and overcontrol.Further results revealed that test weight and protein percentage was significantly influenced with the seed treatment of *Aspergillusawamori*@ 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seedin combination with application of 75 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords: chickpea,microorganisms, phosphorus, quality, yield**

### **1. Introduction**

Chickpea is the *rab*ipulse crop grown in country supplementing protein (17-25 per cent), amino acid, Vit. A, Vit. C, Vit, B, Vit. K, source of folic acid for demand of vegetarian diet, also it plays a significant role in improving soil fertility by fixing the atmospheric nitrogen.Chickpea leavesprovide substantialamountofresidual nitrogen for subsequent crops andadd plenty of organicmatter tomaintain andimprovesoil healthandfertility(Prajapatiet al.,2018)<sup>[14]</sup>.

Phosphorus can be termed as 'life mineral' because of its crucial role in metabolic and energy transfer reactions in plant. Phosphorus is an essential element in DNA and RNA that contain the genetic code of the plant to produce protein and other compounds essential for plant structure, seed yield and also associated with increased root growth, chlorophyll content, and N<sub>2</sub>-fixation in legumes. The phosphorus deficiency leads to stunted root and shoot growth, bluish green coloration of leaf, delayed maturity and poor grain development in cereals. Thus, phosphorus has become a major constraint in agricultural production mainly because of its fixation in soils involving both adsorption and precipitation reactions. The rate and magnitude of phosphate adsorption depends upon the properties of soils and phosphorus resources (Barro *et al.*, 2005,<sup>[01]</sup> Boparai and Sharma, 2006)<sup>[02]</sup>. Use of phosphorous in soils Phosphorous Solubilizing Microorganisms (PSMs) and unlike bacteria, soil fungi also have ability to are capable to convert insoluble phosphorous to soluble forms can function as biofertilizers to increase the native phosphorous in soil (Narsian and Patel, 2000)<sup>[10]</sup>. Low fertility, particularly phosphorus deficiency is one of the major constraints to increase the chickpea productivity (Srinivasrao *et al.*, 2003)<sup>[17]</sup>. Use of biofertilizers is low-cost renewable source of plant nutrients, which supplement chemical fertilizer. PSB solubilize insoluble phosphorus compounds by exerting organic acids, which is the primary mechanism of solubility of insoluble inorganic phosphates. Besides organic acids, production of chelating substances, mineral acids and proton extrusion also involved (Rooge *et al.*, 1998)<sup>[15]</sup>. Mittal *et al.*, (2008)<sup>[09]</sup> found that seed inoculation of chickpea with *Aspergillus awamori* increased shoot height by 7-12 per cent, a nearly threefold increase in seed weight as compared to un inoculated control. Seed inoculation with *Aspergillus awamori* increased the growth, total P content and biomass of mungbean (Jain *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[05]</sup>.

## 2. Material and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during the *rab* season of 2019-20 at research farm of SSAC, College of Agriculture, Latur using chickpea crop (Var. BDNG-797) to evaluate the interactive effect of phosphates solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels on growth parameter, grain yield and seed quality of chickpea. After completion of preparatory tillage operations, the experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with sixteen treatments replicated thrice.

Organic manures *i.e.* FYM was applied at the rate of 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> prior to 15 days of sowing of chickpea crop and all the plots were fertilized with recommended dose of fertilizer NPK (25:50:00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied as a basal dose through urea, SSP treatment wise at the time of sowing. The treatments comprised a seed treatment T<sub>0</sub>: Control, T<sub>1</sub>: *Bacillus megaterium* @ 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed, T<sub>2</sub>: *Aspergillus niger* @ 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed, T<sub>3</sub>: *Aspergillus awamori* @ 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed as a main treatments and application P<sub>0</sub>: 0 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>1</sub>: 45 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>2</sub>: 60 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>3</sub>: 75 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as a sub main treatments.

Chickpea seed was sown on 09<sup>th</sup> October 2019 by dibbling method as per randomly replicated plot having size 3 × 2 m<sup>2</sup> maintaining row to row spacing of 30 cm and plant to plant 10 cm and adopting a seed rate of 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. After sowing, seed was covered with soil. Sowing depth was kept approximately 5 cm. The crop was harvested at maturity stage on 22 January 2020. The observation recorded viz., number of the nodules per plant was recorded at 45 and 60 DAS. The fresh weight and dry weight was also weighed in grams. The seed yield, fodder yield were recorded at harvest stage. Quality parameter like protein, and test weight value were recorded. The data collected from the above observation were analyzed statistically by the procedure prescribed by Panse and Sukhatme (1967)<sup>[12]</sup>.

### 3. Result and Discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels on growth parameters of chickpea.

##### 3.1.1 Total number of root nodule:

Total number of root nodule per plant expressed in table 1. It was observed that, among the different treatments, total number of root nodule per chickpea plant were recorded significantly maximum (15.83 and 18.50 per plant) with seed inoculation of *Aspergillus awamori*, followed by declined up to 13.33 to 16.00 with *Aspergillus niger* and 13.16 and 14.75 with *Bacillus megaterium* at 45 and 60 DAS, respectively. Whereas, the number of nodules per plant was recorded minimum in control at 45 and 60 DAS (i.e. 10.83 and 13.33 respectively). The data showed that maximum root nodules of chickpea (15.67 and 18.41) per plant was recorded with application @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> increased at 45 and 60 DAS respectively. The number of root nodules per plant was further decreased to minimum (11.58 and 14.16) in control at 45 and 60 DAS of

chickpea respectively. The interaction effect of phosphates solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels was found significant at 45 and 60 DAS. The increase in the root nodules per plant of chickpea due to seed inoculation of *Aspergillus awamori* increased the availability of soluble phosphorus by production of organic acid, this acid solubilize unavailable phosphorus to available phosphorus helps to enhance nitrogen fixation which leads to increase in the number of root nodules per plant of chickpea. Similarly, phosphorus plays an important role in nodule initiation and increase the root proliferation thereby increase in the root nodules (Paratey and Wani, 2005)<sup>[13]</sup>. Similar results also reported by Vidhyashree *et al.*, (2017)<sup>[19]</sup>.

**Table No. 1: Nodules per plant of chickpea as influenced by phosphates solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels.**

PSM	Phosphorus levels ( $P_2O_5$ kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
	Number of nodules per plant at 45 DAS				
T0	10.00	10.33	10.33	12.67	<b>10.83</b>
T1	11.67	13.00	13.33	14.67	<b>13.16</b>
T2	11.67	12.67	13.00	16.00	<b>13.33</b>
T3	13.00	15.00	16.00	19.33	<b>15.83</b>
Mean	<b>11.58</b>	<b>12.75</b>	<b>13.17</b>	<b>15.67</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
SE	<b>0.20</b>		<b>0.20</b>		<b>0.40</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.57</b>		<b>0.57</b>		<b>1.15</b>
Number of nodules per plant at 60 DAS					
T0	11.66	12.66	13.33	15.66	<b>13.33</b>
T1	13.33	14.00	14.66	17.00	<b>14.75</b>
T2	14.33	15.66	15.00	19.00	<b>16.00</b>
T3	17.33	15.66	19.00	22.00	<b>18.50</b>
Mean	<b>14.16</b>	<b>14.50</b>	<b>15.50</b>	<b>18.41</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
SE	<b>0.22</b>		<b>0.22</b>		<b>0.45</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.65</b>		<b>0.65</b>		<b>1.31</b>

### 3.1.2 Fresh and Dry weight of root nodules:

Data in respect of fresh and dry weight of root nodules of chickpea presented in the table 2. Among the phosphates solubilizing microorganisms seed inoculation of *Aspergillus awamori* recorded significant fresh and dry weight of root at 45 DAS (0.23 and 0.13 g) and at 60 DAS (0.54 and 0.33 g). While minimum fresh and dry weight of nodule was

recorded in control (0.12 and 0.05 g). The similar pattern was observed in fresh and dry weight at 60 DAS. Among the different levels of phosphorus application at @ 75 kg  $P_2O_5 ha^{-1}$  recorded maximum fresh (0.20 and 0.38 g) and dry weight (0.11 and 0.22 g) of root nodules at 45 and 60 DAS respectively, over rest of the phosphorus levels. While, minimum fresh (0.16 g) and dry weight (0.07 g) was recorded with no application of phosphorus at 45 DAS. Similar trend in fresh and dry weight of nodule per plant was also noticed at 60 DAS. The interaction effect of phosphates solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels showed non-significant effect on fresh weight at 45 DAS and dry weight at 45 and 60 DAS. However, it was showed significant effect on fresh weight of root nodules at 60 DAS only. Improvement in nodulation by the application of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms could be attributed to a greater solubility and availability of phosphate, which is essential for nodule development (Paratey Wani *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[13]</sup>. Similar results were also reported by Kumawat *et al.*, (2009)<sup>[07]</sup> and Singh *et al.*, (2018)<sup>[16]</sup>.

**Table 2: Fresh and dry weight of root nodule in chickpea as influenced by phosphates solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels.**

PSM	Phosphorus levels ( $P_2O_5 kg ha^{-1}$ )				
	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
	Fresh Weight of Root Nodules at 45 DAS (g)				
T0	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.14	<b>0.12</b>
T1	0.14	0.16	0.18	0.19	<b>0.17</b>
T2	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.22	<b>0.21</b>
T3	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.26	<b>0.23</b>
Mean	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.20</b>	
	T		P		TXP
SE	<b>0.002</b>		<b>0.002</b>		<b>0.005</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.008</b>		<b>0.008</b>		NS
Dry Weight of Root Nodules at 45 DAS (g)					
T0	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.10	<b>0.05</b>
T1	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.10	<b>0.08</b>
T2	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	<b>0.11</b>
T3	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.11	<b>0.13</b>
Mean	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.11</b>	
	T		P		TXP
SE	<b>0.003</b>		<b>0.003</b>		<b>0.008</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.010</b>		<b>0.010</b>		NS

Fresh Weight of Root Nodules at 60 DAS (g)					
--	--	--	--	--	--

<b>T0</b>	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.20	<b>0.18</b>
<b>T1</b>	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.32	<b>0.28</b>
<b>T2</b>	0.33	0.33	0.38	0.40	<b>0.36</b>
<b>T3</b>	0.47	0.52	0.56	0.62	<b>0.54</b>
<b>Mean</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.38</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
<b>SE</b>	<b>0.008</b>		<b>0.008</b>		<b>0.015</b>
<b>C.D at5%</b>	<b>0.017</b>		<b>0.017</b>		<b>0.04</b>
<b>Dry Weight of Root Nodules at 60 DAS (g)</b>					
<b>T0</b>	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.11	<b>0.09</b>
<b>T1</b>	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.14	<b>0.15</b>
<b>T2</b>	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.22	<b>0.19</b>
<b>T3</b>	0.26	0.30	0.35	0.42	<b>0.33</b>
<b>Mean</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>NS</b>
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
<b>SE</b>	<b>0.012</b>		<b>0.012</b>		<b>0.024</b>
<b>C.D at5%</b>	<b>0.035</b>		<b>0.035</b>		<b>NS</b>

### 3.1.3 Chlorophyll content:

The data furnished in table 3 revealed that *Aspergillus awamori* recorded maximum chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll (2.06, 1.02 and 3.08 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) at 45 DAS followed by *Aspergillus niger* (1.8, 0.92 and 2.70 mg g<sup>-1</sup> respectively) and *Bacillus megaterium* (1.52, 0.81 and 2.33 mg g<sup>-1</sup>).

Whereas, lowest values of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll content was found in control (1.03, 0.63 and 1.66 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). The application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was influenced significantly and recorded maximum values of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll content (1.77, 0.93 and 2.70 mg g<sup>-1</sup> respectively) in chickpea table 3. While minimum values of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll content was found in control (i.e. 1.45, 0.79 and 2.24 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). The combined effect of *Aspergillus awamori* and application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> showed highest chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll content. The application of higher dose of phosphorus in combination of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms increased the chlorophyll content in leaves of chickpea might be ascribed to increase the solubility of phosphorus in the root environment thereby more utilization of phosphorus by plant for their growth and metabolic activity (Kumawat *et al.* 2009)

<sup>[04]</sup> These results were in conformity with the finding of Vidhyashree *et al.*, (2017)<sup>[19]</sup> reported that these seed inoculation with PSB + *Aspergillus awamori* significantly increased the total chlorophyll content of mungbean (4.13 mg g<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 3: Chlorophyll content as influenced by phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphate levels in chickpea.**

Phosphorus levels (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
PSM	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
<b>Chlorophylla (mg g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>					
T0	0.81	0.89	1.18	1.27	<b>1.03</b>
T1	1.33	1.46	1.60	1.71	<b>1.52</b>
T2	1.74	1.77	1.82	1.87	<b>1.80</b>
T3	1.93	1.92	2.15	2.24	<b>2.06</b>
Mean	<b>1.45</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>1.69</b>	<b>1.77</b>	
	T		P		<b>TXP</b>
SE	<b>0.01</b>		<b>0.01</b>		<b>0.02</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.05</b>		<b>0.05</b>		<b>0.09</b>
<b>Chlorophyllb (mg g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>					
T0	0.55	0.58	0.69	0.72	<b>0.63</b>
T1	0.78	0.79	0.82	0.86	<b>0.81</b>
T2	0.87	0.90	0.91	0.93	<b>0.90</b>
T3	0.97	0.94	0.99	1.22	<b>1.02</b>
Mean	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.93</b>	
	T		P		<b>TXP</b>
SE	<b>0.009</b>		<b>0.009</b>		<b>0.01</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.02</b>		<b>0.02</b>		<b>0.05</b>
<b>Total chlorophyll (mg g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>					
Phosphorus levels (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
PSM	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
T0	1.36	1.47	1.87	1.99	<b>1.67</b>
T1	2.11	2.25	2.43	2.57	<b>2.33</b>
T2	2.61	2.72	2.80	2.90	<b>2.70</b>
T3	2.86	2.80	3.46	2.43	<b>3.08</b>
Mean	<b>2.24</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>2.70</b>	
	T		P		<b>TXP</b>
SE	<b>0.04</b>		<b>0.04</b>		<b>0.08</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.12</b>		<b>0.12</b>		<b>0.25</b>

### 3.2 Effect of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels on yield and yield attributes of chickpea

### 3.2.1 Seedyield:

The seedyield of chickpea as influenced by phosphate solubilizing microorganism narrated in table 4. The seed inoculation with *Aspergillus awamori* produced higher seedyield (1502.68 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to application of *Aspergillus niger* (1406.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and *Bacillus megaterium* (1344.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Significant improvement in yield was noticed with the application of different phosphorus levels. Among the different phosphorus levels application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher seed yield (1451.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to application of phosphorus @ 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (1403.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (1346.18 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). While, minimum seedyield was recorded in control (1322.77 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Interaction between phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels was found to be insignificant. The seed inoculation with *Aspergillus awamori* and application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest value of seed yield than rest of the interactions. This may be attributed to *Aspergillus awamori* increase more values of growth parameters at almost all growth stages and helped in reducing P fixation by its chelating effect and also solubilized the unavailable form of P leading to more uptake of nutrients resulted in better growth of the plant (Daset al, 2013)<sup>[03]</sup>. The increase in seed yield due to increase in P level may be attributed to increase in the availability of P in soil. Similar findings are noted by Nawange et al. (2011)<sup>[11]</sup>.

**Table 4: Seedyield of chickpea as influenced by phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels.**

PSM	Phosphorus levels (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
	Seed Yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>				
<b>T0</b>	1198.85	1232.67	1303.35	1342.52	<b>1269.35</b>
<b>T1</b>	1344.64	1308.21	1354.48	1370.79	<b>1344.53</b>
<b>T2</b>	1345.15	1401.31	1416.74	1463.33	<b>1406.63</b>
<b>T3</b>	1402.44	1442.52	1538.42	1627.34	<b>1502.68</b>
<b>Mean</b>	<b>1322.77</b>	<b>1346.18</b>	<b>1403.25</b>	<b>1451.00</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
<b>SE</b>	<b>12.59</b>		<b>12.59</b>		<b>25.18</b>
<b>C.D at 5%</b>	<b>36.35</b>		<b>36.35</b>		<b>72.71</b>

### 3.2.2 Straw Yield:

It is evidenced from the data presented in table 5. The straw yield of chickpea as significantly influenced by phosphate solubilizing microorganism, The seed

inoculation with *Aspergillus awamori* produced maximum straw yield of chickpea (1803.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to application of *Aspergillus niger* (1688.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and *Bacillus megaterium* (1613.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the different phosphorus levels application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher straw yield (1741.19 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compare to application of phosphorus @ 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (1683.90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (1615.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, the minimum straw yield was recorded in control (1587.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Interaction between phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and different phosphorus levels on straw yield was found to be significant. The seed inoculation with *Aspergillus awamori* and application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher value of straw yield than rest of the interactions. This was mainly due to the fact that *Aspergillus awamori* and application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> increase in the availability of N and P caused better root development, better growth and development of plants and better diversion of photosynthate toward sink Tagore *et al.*, (2013)<sup>[18]</sup>. Kumar *et al.*, (2019)<sup>[6]</sup> reported that the straw yield of chickpea increased due to increase in phosphorus levels might be because of increase in the microbial activity in the root environment which accelerates cell division and formation of meristem.

**Table 5: Straw yield as influenced by phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels in chickpea.**

PSM	Phosphorus levels (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
	Straw Yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>				
T0	1438.6	1479.2	1564.0	1611.0	<b>1523.2</b>
T1	1613.6	1569.8	1625.4	1644.9	<b>1613.4</b>
T2	1614.2	1681.6	1700.1	1756.0	<b>1688.0</b>
T3	1682.9	1731.0	1846.1	1952.8	<b>1803.2</b>
Mean	<b>1587.32</b>	<b>1615.41</b>	<b>1683.90</b>	<b>1741.19</b>	
	T		P		TXP
SE	<b>15.11</b>		<b>15.11</b>		<b>30.22</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>43.63</b>		<b>43.63</b>		<b>87.26</b>

### 3.3 Effect of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels on quality parameters of chickpea

### 3.3.1 Protein Yield:

The data pertaining to protein content and protein yield influenced significantly and presented in table 6. The maximum protein yield (426.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and protein content (23.62 %) was recorded with seed inoculation of *Aspergillus awamori* followed by *Aspergillus niger* (392.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 23.24% respectively) and *Bacillus megaterium* (362.27 and 22.42% respectively). Whereas minimum protein yield (312 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and protein content (23.62%) was recorded in control. The data on effect of different levels of phosphorus application shows that maximum protein yield and protein content was noticed with the application of phosphorus @ 75 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (412.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 23.62% respectively). The grain yield and protein content reduced significantly with decrement in phosphorus levels up to application of phosphorus @ 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (378.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 22.44%) and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (344.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 21.25 %). The minimum protein yield (357.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and protein content (22.38%) was recorded with no application of phosphorus. The interaction effect of phosphates solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels shows significant effect on protein yield and protein content. This may be due to both nutrients play main role in protein metabolism. Similarly increase in protein content in seed due to application of phosphorus resembles to increase in higher uptake of N by plant which is main constituent of amino acid and building block of protein. Similar results are also noted by Miretal., (2013)<sup>[08]</sup> and Singhetal., (2018)<sup>[16]</sup>.

**Table 6: Protein content and protein yield as influenced by phosphate solubilizing microorganism and phosphorus levels in chickpea.**

PSM	Phosphorus levels (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
	Protein Content (%)				
T0	18.92	19.97	20.71	22.04	<b>20.41</b>
T1	22.21	20.84	23.30	23.33	<b>22.42</b>
T2	24.16	22.75	22.43	23.63	<b>23.24</b>
T3	24.21	21.46	23.33	25.47	<b>23.62</b>
Mean	<b>22.38</b>	<b>21.25</b>	<b>22.44</b>	<b>23.62</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
SE	<b>0.12</b>		<b>0.12</b>		<b>0.25</b>
C.D at 5%	<b>0.36</b>		<b>0.36</b>		<b>0.73</b>
Protein Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
T0	272.68	295.78	324.31	355.47	<b>312.06</b>
T1	358.61	327.56	378.88	384.05	<b>362.27</b>

<b>T2</b>	390.20	382.92	381.46	415.32	<b>392.47</b>
<b>T3</b>	407.57	371.66	430.85	497.77	<b>426.96</b>
<b>Mean</b>	<b>357.26</b>	<b>344.48</b>	<b>378.87</b>	<b>413.15</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
<b>SE</b>	<b>3.04</b>		<b>3.04</b>		<b>6.09</b>
<b>C.D at5%</b>	<b>8.80</b>		<b>8.80</b>		<b>17.60</b>

### 3.3.2 TestWeight:

The data furnished in table 7 revealed that, the high value of test weight (158.00g) was recorded with seed inoculation of *Aspergillus awamori* followed by *Aspergillus niger* (157.62g) and *Bacillus megaterium* (157.14g). The minimum test weight (156.99g) was noticed in control. The application of @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded maximum test weight (158.49 g), followed by application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> (157.71g) and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> (156.49

g). The combined effect of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and varied levels of phosphorus influenced and the increase in test weight due to combined application of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels attribute to increase in the symbiotic nitrogen fixation by adding more phosphorus which help to seeds for their development and ultimately increase the size of seed (Tagore *et al.*, 2013)<sup>[18]</sup>. Dutta and Bandyopadhyay, (2009)<sup>[04]</sup> reported that seed inoculation of *Rhizobium* and *Phosphobacterium* increase the test weight of chickpea. These findings are in line with the findings reported by Prajapati *et al.*, (2017)<sup>[14]</sup>.

**Table 7: Test weight of chickpea seed as influenced by phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus levels.**

PSM	Phosphorus levels (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	P0	P45	P60	P75	Mean
<b>Test Weight (g)</b>					
<b>T0</b>	156.35	157.59	156.77	157.27	<b>156.99</b>
<b>T1</b>	155.88	156.86	157.70	158.13	<b>157.14</b>
<b>T2</b>	155.94	157.37	158.14	159.04	<b>157.62</b>
<b>T3</b>	157.77	156.45	158.25	159.51	<b>158.00</b>
<b>Mean</b>	<b>156.49</b>	<b>157.07</b>	<b>157.71</b>	<b>158.49</b>	
	<b>T</b>		<b>P</b>		<b>TXP</b>
<b>SE</b>	<b>0.07</b>		<b>0.07</b>		<b>0.15</b>
<b>C.D at5%</b>	<b>0.21</b>		<b>0.21</b>		<b>0.43</b>

## 4. Conclusion

It can be inferred and concluded that incorporation of phosphates solubilizing microorganisms viz. *Aspergillus awamori* in combination with application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> improved growth attributes, yield attributes and quality of chickpea.

#### References

1. Barros M.H, (2005). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* COQ10 gene encodes a START domain protein required for function of coenzyme Q in respiration. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280 (52): 42627-35.
2. Boparai, H.K., and Sharma, K.N. (2006). Phosphorus absorption and desorption characteristics of some soil as affected by clay and available phosphorus content. *J. Ind. Soci. Soil. Sci.* 54 (1):111-114.
3. Das, S., Pareek, B.L., Kumavat, A. and Dhikwal, S.R. (2013) Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on production of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in north western Rajasthan, *Ind. Legume. Res.* 36(6): 511- 514.
4. Dutta, D. and Bandyopadhyay, P. (2009). Performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to application of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer in laterite soil. *Archi. Agron. Soil. Sci.* 55(2) 147-155.
5. Jain R., Saxena J., Sharma V. (2010). The evaluation of free and encapsulated *Aspergillus awamori* for phosphate solubilization in fermentation and soil-plan system. *App. Soil. Eco.* 46, 90-94.
6. Kumar, J. Kumar, S. and Prakash V. (2019) effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus levels on soil fertility yield and nodulation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *J. Ind.Soci. Soil. Sci.* 67 (2):199-203.
7. Kumawat, N., Sharma, O.P., Kumar, R. and Kumari, A. (2009). Response of organic manures, PSB and phosphorus fertilization on growth and yield of mungbean. *Envi. Eco.* 27(4): 2024-2027.
8. Mir, A.H., Lal, S.B., Salmani, M. Abid, M. and Khan, I. (2013) Growth, yield and nutrient content of blackgram (*vigna mungo*) as influenced by levels of phosphorus, sulphur and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria. *SAARC. J. Agri.*, 11(1): 1-66.
9. Mittal, V., Singh, O., Nayyar, H., Kaur, J. and Tewari, R. (2008). Stimulatory effect of phosphate-solubilizing fungal strains (*Aspergillus awamori* and *Penicillium citrinum*) on the yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L. cv. GPF2). *Soil. Bio. Bioche.* (40) 718-727.

10. Narsihan, V., Patel, H.H. (2000). *Aspergillus aculeatus* as a rock phosphate solubilizer. *Soil. Bio. Bioche.* (32):559-565.
11. Nawange, D.D., Yadav, A.S. and Singh, R.V. (2011). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Legume. Res.* 34(1):48-50.
12. Panse VG, Sukhatme PK.(1985) Statistical methods for agriculture workers, (IV Edn.)ICAR, New Delhi. 1985, 145-156.
13. Paratey, P.R. And Wani, P.V. (2005). Response of soybean to phosphate solubilizing biofertilizers. *Legume .Res.* 28(4): 268-271.
14. Prajapati, B.J., Gudadhe, N. Gamit, V.R. and Chhaganiya, H.J. (2018). Effect of integrated phosphorus management on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea. *Fmg. & Mngmt.* 2(1): 36-40.
15. Rooge, R. B., Patil, V. C. and Ravikishan, P. (1998). Effect of phosphorus application with phosphate solubilizing organisms on the yield, quality and phosphorus uptake of soybean. *Legume. Res.* 21: 85-90.
16. Singh, R. Singh, P. Singh, V. and Yadav, R.A. (2018). Effect of phosphorus and PSB on growth parameters, yield, quality and economics of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) *Int. J. Chem. Stud.* 6(4): 2798-2803.
17. Srinivasarao, C.H. Ganeshamurthy, A.N. Ali, M. and Venkateswarlu, B. (2003). Phosphorus and micronutrient nutrition of chickpea genotypes in a multi-nutrient deficient typic ustochrept. *J. Plant. Nutr.* 29: 747-763.
18. Tagore, G.S., Namdeo, S.L., Sharma, S.K. and Kumar, N. (2013). Effect of *Rhizobium* and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacterial Inoculants on Symbiotic Traits, Nodule Leghemoglobin, and Yield of Chickpea Genotypes. *Int. J. Agron.* 8:581627.
19. Vidhyashree, V., Naga, S.R., Yadav, B.L., Koli D.K. and Rao I.J. (2017). Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.)Wilczek]. *Int. J. Curr. Micro. App. Sci.* 6(7): 3992- 3997.