

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_114629
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study to Analyse the Nutrient Composition of Banana Leaves in Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District, Karnataka
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it is important</p> <p>Actual title: Comparative Study to Analyse the Nutrient Composition of Banana Leaves in Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District, Karnataka</p> <p>I suggest to modify to: Comparison of banana leaves nutrient composition considering healthy and margin affected gardens</p> <p>Abstract has some grammar observations</p> <p>Yes sections are appropriate</p> <p>The research approach it is useful, but the results discussion is deficient</p> <p>References are enough</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English writing is suitable, but the results discussion is poor</p> <p>The paragraph of conclusions needs to be rewritten</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I reproduce some paragraphs to explain my observations</p> <p>The nitrogen concentration in leaf tissues of banana leaf margin-affected gardens varied from 2.24 to 3.25 per cent with a mean value of 2.77 per cent. It was noticed that the nitrogen concentration of the leaf was medium reference values? in banana leaf margin-affected gardens. the minimum leaf nitrogen reported in Vadadhalli village and maximum leaf nitrogen reported in the Bagganadu village. Whereas in healthy banana gardens, it varied from 2.24 to 3.26 with a range mean of 3.06 the minimum leaf nitrogen reported in Gounahalli village and maximum leaf nitrogen reported in Vadadahalli village.</p> <p>The phosphorus concentration in leaf tissues of banana leaf margin-affected gardens varied from 0.14 to 0.34 per cent with a mean value of 0.26 per cent. It was noticed that the phosphorus concentration of the leaf was low to medium reference values? in banana leaf margin-affected gardens. In banana leaf margin-affected gardens, the minimum leaf phosphorous was reported in Vadadhalli village and the maximum leaf phosphorous was reported in the Goguddu village. Whereas in healthy banana gardens, it varied from 0.31 to 0.53 with a range mean of 0.44 the minimum leaf phosphorus reported in Babbur farm village and maximum leaf phosphorus</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>reported in the Gudihalli village.</p> <p>You need to include the reference values</p> <p>The appropriate word is mean</p> <p>The discussion follows the same pattern so mistakes are the same</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Maura Margarita Teutli León
Department, University & Country	Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Mexico