

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_114276
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory and rheological properties of fortified coconut milk based chocolate drink as influenced by cocoa powder and sugar levels
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscripts are important for the scientific community. This research paper is about Sensory and rheological properties of fortified coconut milk based chocolate drink as influenced by cocoa powder and sugar levels</p> <p>The title of the study is good but the writing format needs to be revised</p> <p>Abstract writing needs to be improved. Very poor and unformatted writing. It is best to start writing with an introduction, problem statement, objective, result and conclusion.</p> <p>Very poor manuscript writing, does not follow the format and does not reach the standard of the journal. Suppose, Introduction writing should be organized with a literature review followed by a clear research problem and supported by several authors. Finally, the objective of the study is clearly written so that the reader is clear about the direction of the study.</p> <p>The writing format of the conclusion is preferably in the form of a paragraph. It should be explained in a clear form showing the whole study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to improve the quality of writing</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Hairul Bin Palal
Department, University & Country	PoliteknikTun Syed Nasir Syed Ismail, Malaysia