

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114499
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Digital Risk of Senior Care in Shandong Province under the Background of Digital Divide
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is suitable for scientific community but focused mainly on sociological phenomenon</p> <p>2. The title is suitable with minimal adjustments recommended.</p> <p>Suggested Title: ASSESSMENT OF DIGITAL RISK OF SENIOR CARE IN SHANDONG PROVINCE: A FOCUS ON THE DIGITAL DIVIDE</p> <p>3. The abstract is quite comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The sub-sections and structure of the manuscript is dependent on the publication guideline. Just that a few acronyms were not fully explained at the end of the table as indicated in my review.</p> <p>5. To a large extent, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are quite recent (i.e. within the last 10 years), also it depends on the referencing style stated in the guideline for publication. But this looks like the APA style of referencing.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language is suitable for scholarly communication but with minimal corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>See other corrections made on the review notes section of the manuscript</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ani Moses Chukwubuikem
Department, University & Country	Dominican University, Nigeria