

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114842
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between ABO Blood Type, rhesus Factor, Genotype, and Lifestyle with Premature Canities: A Cross-Sectional Study among Residents of Ikeja of Lagos State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The title is too long, probably should be changed to: Factors related to Premature Canities – A Cross-Sectional Study in Lagos State, Nigeria</p> <p>Sentence space of all part of the Abstract should be the same. Relationship is not the same with association (causation, while cannot be concluded with the statistic used). It is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, apart from many wrong calculations of percentage presented in the table, many are less more than 100% in total.</p> <p>Majority of the references are published within last 10 years. For Reference no. 2, please state when it is written or published.</p> <p>The Introduction part should be more concise, leading reader to why should read this paper. Figure 1 and 2 is not necessary presented. Figures and Tables in Results Section could be reduced into just 2-3 Table. Narratives in Results Section explain only the important findings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>In general, this manuscript has been written in standard scientific English language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In Conclusion Section, describe only the important finding of the study as answer to the aim of the study. Last sentence in Conclusion Section is better moved to the Discussion section. Overall, this manuscript need revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yanto Sandy Tjang
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta, Indonesia