

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114842
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between ABO Blood Type, rhesus Factor, Genotype, and Lifestyle with Premature Canities: A Cross-Sectional Study among Residents of Ikeja of Lagos State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript adds important data to the existing literature. 2. The title is an apt one 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes 5. No. Blood group analysis with respect to normal population (No canities) should have also been done. No mention about the validation of the proforma has been mentioned. The co-morbidities of the participants have not been mentioned. What was the cut-off age to decide premature canities. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The tables 10a to 10f seem scientifically incorrect. 'No' response for low carbohydrates and 'Yes' response for high carbohydrates are essentially the same. 2. Blood group analysis with respect to normal population (No canities) should have also been done. 3. No mention about the validation of the proforma has been mentioned. 4. The co-morbidities of the participants have not been mentioned. 5. What was the cut-off age to decide premature canities. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharang Gupta
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India