

**Field and economic evaluation of barley productivity as affected by seed rates and slow-release nitrogen fertilizer levels under rainfed conditions**

**ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at the north western coast of Egypt under rainfed conditions to study the effects of seed rates (70, 95 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and nitrogen fertilizer treatments (0, 35, 70 and 105 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) of insiabeen-40% N as slow-release fertilizer compared with 105 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as Ammonium nitrate (33.5%N) on productivity of barley cultivar Giza134. This investigation was conducted during 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 winter growing seasons. The treatments were laid out in a split plot design, with three replications. Plant height, spike length, number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, number of spikes per m<sup>2</sup>, 1000 grain weight, biological and grain yield were recorded. In addition, total income and net return (LE)/hactare were calculated. The results indicated that mean squares due to seasons, seed rates, nitrogen levels and their interactions were significant for most studied traits. Mean performance of the studied traits as affected by seasons, seed rates and nitrogen levels showed that, the most desirable values were obtained by SR<sub>1</sub> for spike length (6.13cm), number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (48.78 grain) and 1000 grain weight (42.15g), from SR<sub>2</sub> for Biological yield (6.02 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield (1.85 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) and from SR<sub>3</sub> for plant height (78.28cm) and number of spikes m<sup>-2</sup> (180.11 spike). For nitrogen level effects, N<sub>4</sub> recorded the most favorable values for all studied traits; plant height (81.48cm), spike length (6.33cm), number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (49.99 grain), number of spikes m<sup>-2</sup> (187.60 spike), Biological yield (6.88 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>), grain yield (2.12 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 1000 grain weight (42.89g). Grain yield exhibited highly significant and positive correlation with each of plant height (r= 0.833\*\*), spike length (r=0.621\*\*), number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (r=0.768\*\*), number of spikes m<sup>-2</sup> (r=0.880\*\*) and weight of 1000 grains (r= 0.661\*\*). The most contributing variable in the total variation of grain yield were number of spikes m<sup>-2</sup>, number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and spike length. These variables contributed by 92.9% in the grain yield variation. The results also indicated that, the highest values in the total income were 19149 and 24990 pound.hec<sup>-1</sup> respectively, and net return were 9492 and 15498 pound.hec<sup>-1</sup> obtained from the interaction between seed rate of 5kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR<sub>2</sub>) and 105 unit of slow release fertilizer (N<sub>4</sub>) during the two growing seasons. It could recommend this treatment to maximize the total income for the farmers in such location.

Key words: Barley, seed rate, nitrogen levels, slow release, yield potential. Economic evaluation, Net return.

**INTRODUCTION**

Cereal crops included many important crops among of them barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). Based on production area, barley is the fifth among cereal crops, but in terms of yield per unit area, it is the third. The potential yield of the experimental evaluation increase significantly compared to the actual mean productivity[1]. Poor weed control, poor soil fertility, improper crop rotation, late sowing, and the use of unimproved local varieties all contribute to low productivity under rainfed conditions. Most farm animals use barley grains

alone or in combinations with other feed ingredients. Due to its high content of amino acids, proteins, lysine, and fibers, barley has recently gained popularity as a food ingredient due to its high nutritional value as well as malt industry [2].

Due to low rate of transpiration water requirement of barley is lower than those for other cereals [3]. Under low rainfall environments, barley is more likely than wheat for forage as well as grain yield production. Barley is the best choice in the risky conditions especially in arctic or sub-arctic regions and in subtropical zones [4]. The marginal rainfall area of the countries that have a typical Mediterranean climate such as Egypt is adapted to grow barley [5]. Seed rate and fertilizers, particularly those containing nitrogen, are the major inputs affecting the yield and quality of barley [6]. In spite of the hazard using of fertilization on barley under rainfed areas, application of nitrogen (N) is economical in most years [7, 8]. In Egypt, barley productivity under rainfed conditions is very low, therefore, improving the recommended package of barley production under this condition such as seed rates and N fertilizer levels are very important for maximizing grain yield and total income of unit area of barley. So, this experiment was conducted.

Yield of barley is the integrated effect of many variables influencing plant growth during the season. Growth analysis and relative contribution studies perhaps help interpreting the results and may lead the plant breeders to get better cultivars and good evaluation for the agricultural practices.

Correlation is an important statistical approach that used to facilitate strategies for high yield. It is also used to examine the direct and indirect contribution of the yield components.

Procedure of stepwise multiple linear regression aims to construct a regression equation including the variable accounting for the majority of the total yield variability. Kole [9], Zaefizadeh [10] and AbdEL-Mohsen [11] used correlation and regression in barley.

The objective of the current research were to determine the most important variables and their relative contribution in the variation of barley yield.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **Treatment and experimental details**

A field experiment was conducted at the North western coast of Egypt (marsa matroh government) under rainfed conditions during 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 winter growing season. The treatments were comprised of two factors: three seed rates (SR1= 70, SR2= 95 and SR3= 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and five nitrogen fertilizer treatments (N1= 0, N2= 35, N3= 70, N4= 105 unit(kg) ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen-40% N as slow-release fertilizer and N5=105 unit(kg) ha<sup>-1</sup> of Ammonium nitrate 33.5%N). Nitrogen was applied as one dose during soil tillage. barley cultivar Giza 134 was selected for this experiment.

The treatments were arranged in a split plot design, with three replications. The main plots were assigned to seed rates, while the sub plots were allocated to nitrogen levels. Grains were hand drilled. Each genotype was sown in 10 rows, 2.5m long, spaced with 20 cm among rows, the Plot size was 5m<sup>2</sup>.

### **Soil Sampling and Analysis**

Before planting soil samples were randomly taken from the experimental site at a depth of 30 cm using an auger and the samples were mixed thoroughly to produce one representative composite sample. Physical and chemical soil properties were measured in the soil samples as revealed in **Table (1)**.

**Table (1). Physical and chemical soil analysis at the experimental site during 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 growing seasons.**

	2019/2020 season	2020-2021 season
EC	0,52	0.20
PH	8.38	8.8
Sand %	89	94
Caco3 %	25%	18%
N	19 ppm	15 ppm
P	7 ppm	5 ppm
K	120 ppm	140 ppm

The data of temperature, relative humidity (%) and Rainfall during the two growing seasons are given in Tables 2. The temperature at Marsa Matruh site during the two growing seasons ranged from 11 to 24. Marsa Matruh received 184.2 and 210.9 mm of rainfall in the first and second seasons, respectively. Increasing the rainfall rate in the second season led to an increase in the averages of the studied traits compared to the first season.

**Table (2).Maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity (%) and Rainfall during the two growing seasons at Marsa Matruh location.**

Month	Temperature				Relative humidity (%)		Rain-fed (mm)	
	2019/2020		2020/2021		2019/2020	2020/2021	2019/2020	2020/2021
	Max	Min	Max	Min	0	1		
Nov	24	18	21	17	67	63	10.3	9.6
Dec	19	15	19	14	61	65	24.2	25.3
Jan	15	11	18	13	62	67	88.6	104.6
Feb	17	12	17	12	63	68	20.6	36.8
Mar	19	13	18	13	60	64	39.6	34
Apr	21	14	22	15	55	64	0.9	0.6

### Data Collection

The data for Plant height, spike length, number of grains spikes<sup>-1</sup>, number of spikes m<sup>-2</sup>, 1000- grain weight, biological and grain yield were recorded.

### Statistical Analysis

The data collected were statistically analyzed using ANOVA function of SAS program. After performing ANOVA the differences between the treatment means were compared by LSD test at 5% level of significance as outlined by **Gomez and Gomez [12]**.

Simple correlation coefficients were calculated for various variables according to **Steel and Torrie [13]**. Stepwise regression analysis was used to determine the effective yield components as independent variables significantly contribute to the total variation in the grain yield as dependent variable.

Stepwise procedure develops a sequence of multiple regression equations in stepwise manner. One variable is added to the regression model at each step.

The added variable is the one that cause the greatest reduction in the error sum of squares. It is also the variable that has the highest partial correlation with the dependent variable for fixed values of those variables already added, and is the variable that has the highest F value in regression analysis of variance.

Stepwise regression analysis was conducted as outlined by **Draper and Smith[14]**.

## Economic evaluations

Total costs, Total income and net return were calculated for all treatment in Egyptian pounds (LE) using market prices of barley according to Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Economic Affairs Sector Price Bulletin (2019/2020-2020/2021), Egypt. The barley prices were 6723 LE/ton and 6980 LE/ton of grains, 1356 LE/ton and 1500 LE /ton of straw in first and second seasons, respectively.

Benefit cost ratio (b/c)=net return/cost according to **Gad et al. [15]** and **Enas et al.[16]**.

## RESULTS

### Analysis of variance

Results in Table (3) show the analysis of variance for the studied traits across the two growing seasons. Mean squares due to seed rates and nitrogen fertilization levels were highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) for all the studied characters. Variances of seed rates and levels of nitrogen interaction were highly significant for all the characters.

### Mean performance:

#### 1. The effect of seed rates:

Means of all studied traits across the seasons and seed rates performed in Table (4). Values that obtained from SR1 ( $70\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) were the highest for spike length (5.85 and 6.41cm in first and second season respectively), no. of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (47.12 and 50.43 grain in first and second season respectively) in both seasons and 1000 grain weight (40.23g) in the first season. Regarding, SR2 ( $95\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) recorded desirable values for biological yield (6.58 ton/ha), grain yield (2.01 ton/ha) and 1000 grain weight (44.14g) in the second season. SR3 ( $120\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) recorded the most favorable values for plant height (77.22 and 79.34cm in first and second season respectively) and no of spikesm<sup>-2</sup> (170.95 and 189.27 spike in first and second season respectively) in both seasons, biological (5.65 ton/ha) and grain yield (1.72 ton/ha) in the first season.

#### 2. Effect of nitrogen levels:

The means of all studied traits over the two seasons as affected by nitrogen levels are shown in Table (5). The results emphasized that increasing nitrogen level led to increasing all studied traits compared to control treatment. N4 ( $105\text{unit ha}^{-1}$  of insiabeen-40% N) gave the maximum values for all traits in both seasons with values 79.94 and 83.41cm for plant height, 9.99 and 6.67cm for spike length, 47.97 and 52.01grain for number of grains/spike, 177.65 and 197.55 spike for number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, 6.25 and 7.52ton for biological yield, 1.96 and 2.28ton for grain yield, 40.36 and 45.42g for 1000 grain weight in first and second season respectively.

**Table (3). Analysis of variance for the studied traits across the two growing seasons.**

SOV	df	Plant height		Spike length (cm)		No. of grains/spike		No. of spikes/m <sup>2</sup>		Biological yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Grain yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		1000 grain weight(g)	
		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>
Reps	2	21.10**	30.6**	0.01	0.02	8.20**	10.51**	133.41**	211.2**	0.10**	0.21**	0.01*	0.11**	0.01	0.10
Seed Rates (SR)	2	608.5**	44.8**	1.21**	0.90**	42.0**	33.00**	2236.8**	3103.4**	1.30**	1.50**	0.10**	0.13**	5.8**	6.41**
Error a	4	0.70	0.37	0.01	0.001	0.22	0.04	1.73	8.68	0.002	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.11	0.22
Nitrogen levels (N)	4	280.3**	284**	1.01**	1.90**	37.0**	67.43**	2134.0**	2433.8**	4.62**	11.20**	0.52**	1.01**	7.40**	9.60**
SR x N	8	10.11**	19.7**	0.01**	0.01**	0.71**	1.81**	33.32**	54.00**	0.10**	0.20**	0.01**	0.01**	0.01**	0.51**
Error b	24	0.69	0.94	0.004	0.003	0.12	0.10	1.51	5.19	0.003	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.03
Total	44														

\*, \*\* = significant at 0.05 and 0.01, probability levels, respectively.

**Table (4). Mean performance of the studied traits as affected by season and seed rates.**

Seed Rates	Plant height (cm)		Spike length (cm)		No. of grains/spike		No. of spikes/m <sup>2</sup>		Biological yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Grain yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		1000 grain weight (g)	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season
SR1	66.62	72.79	5.85	6.41	47.12	50.43	147.08	161.73	5.08	6.00	1.55	1.82	40.23	44.06
SR2	72.37	76.09	5.56	6.06	45.36	48.37	163.51	182.68	5.46	6.58	1.69	2.01	39.06	44.14
SR3	77.22	79.34	5.30	5.93	43.78	47.56	170.95	189.27	5.65	6.27	1.72	1.85	38.03	43.07
LSD0.05	0.85	0.61	0.08	0.03	0.48	0.20	1.33	2.99	0.04	0.10	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.14
LSD0.01	1.41	1.02	0.13	0.06	0.80	0.33	2.21	4.95	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.24

SR1=70kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,SR2= 95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>and SR3= 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

**Table (5). Mean performance of the studied traits as affected by season (S) and nitrogen levels (N) interaction over the two seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021.**

Nitrogen levels	Plant height (cm)		Spike length (cm)		No. of grains/spike		No. of spikes/m <sup>2</sup>		Biological yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Grain yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		1000 grain weight(g)	
	1st Season	2nd Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season
N1	65.54	68.42	5.10	5.46	42.60	44.77	136.69	153.15	4.33	4.69	1.31	1.43	37.93	41.94
N2	72.41	75.09	5.55	6.09	45.28	48.54	158.76	174.45	5.24	5.85	1.60	1.79	38.61	43.13
N3	76.22	78.20	5.77	6.42	46.60	50.50	169.64	186.32	5.80	7.07	1.79	2.16	39.58	44.77
N4	79.94	83.41	5.99	6.67	47.97	52.01	177.65	197.55	6.25	7.52	1.96	2.28	40.36	45.42
N5	69.78	73.03	5.44	6.02	44.65	48.12	159.82	178.01	5.35	5.96	1.62	1.81	39.06	43.51
LSD0.05	0.81	0.94	0.06	0.05	0.34	0.31	1.19	2.22	0.05	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.16
LSD0.01	1.09	1.27	0.08	0.07	0.46	0.42	1.62	3.00	0.07	0.13	0.03	0.05	0.12	0.22

**N1=control (zero), N2= 35 unit ha<sup>-1</sup>, N3=70unit ha<sup>-1</sup>,N4=105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen (40% N) as slow-release fertilizer and N5= 105unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of Ammonium nitrate (33.5%N).**

### **Effect of seed rates and nitrogen levels interaction**

Mean performance of all the studied traits of the interaction among seasons, seed rates and nitrogen levels are shown in Tables (6). Treatment Combinations of SR1 (70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with N4 (105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen-40% N) were the best for spike length (6.28 and 7.12cm in first and second season respectively), no.of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (49.70 and 54.72 grain in first and second season respectively) and 1000 grain weight in both seasons (41.30 and 46.11g in first and second season respectively). Concerning,SR2 (95kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with N4 (105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen-40% N) produce desirable results for biological yield in the second season (7.61 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield in both seasons (1.99 and 2.38 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> in first and second season respectively) Regarding,SR3 (120kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with N4 (105unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen-40% N) exhibited the highest values for biological yield in the first season(6.30 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>), plant height (83.11 and 85.43cm in first and second season respectively) and no. of spikes m<sup>-2</sup>(184.17 and 204.34 spike in first and second season respectively) in the two seasons.

### **Correlation analysis**

The Results in table(8) Showed that simple correlation coefficient among grain yield and its components. The results revealed that the relationship between all possible pairs of the studied characters were highly significant. Grain yield of barley was highly significantly and positively correlated with each of plant height ( $r=0.833^{**}$ ), spike length ( $r=0.621^{**}$ ), number of grains/ spike ( $r=0.768^{**}$ ), number of spikes/ m<sup>2</sup> ( $r=0.880^{**}$ ) and weight of 1000 grains ( $r=0.661^{**}$ ). The results showed clearly that the mentioned characters had great impact on the grain yield of barley. The results also cleared that highly significant and positive correlations were observed between the studied characters and each others (Table 7). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Kole [9], [10],Abd El-Mohsen [11] and Sahar et al. [17].

**Table (6) Mean performance of plant height, spike length and number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, number of spikes plant<sup>-1</sup> as affected by interactions among seasons, seed rates and nitrogen levels.**

Seed Rate	Nitrogen levels	Plant height		Spike length (cm)		No. of grains/spike		No. of spikes/m <sup>2</sup>		Biological yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Grain yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )		1000 grain weight(g)	
		1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season
SR1	N1	58.34	62.71	5.36	5.67	44.14	46.04	119.99	132.01	3.81	4.37	1.16	1.32	39.10	42.18
	N2	65.42	71.76	5.86	6.35	47.14	50.10	142.70	154.32	4.80	5.52	1.45	1.71	39.80	43.34
	N3	69.99	76.18	6.12	6.71	48.69	52.26	158.08	173.65	5.61	6.96	1.73	2.11	40.77	45.53
	N4	73.29	80.09	6.28	7.12	49.70	54.72	169.83	187.32	6.20	7.56	1.93	2.29	41.30	46.11
	N5	66.06	73.20	5.66	6.17	45.94	49.04	144.83	161.34	4.96	5.59	1.51	1.70	40.20	43.13
SR2	N1	63.50	70.17	5.09	5.46	42.51	44.74	142.10	161.08	4.57	4.98	1.37	1.56	37.91	42.38
	N2	72.68	75.47	5.52	6.07	45.10	48.40	162.19	179.68	5.27	6.09	1.62	1.88	38.53	43.72
	N3	75.52	79.05	5.75	6.37	46.50	50.22	171.01	189.32	5.76	7.61	1.81	2.31	39.56	44.92
	N4	81.10	83.05	6.08	6.56	48.46	51.34	178.97	200.99	6.25	7.82	1.99	2.38	40.33	45.53
	N5	69.06	72.73	5.37	5.86	44.22	47.16	163.26	182.35	5.45	6.39	1.65	1.92	38.97	44.15
SR3	N1	72.40	74.80	4.86	5.25	41.14	43.52	147.96	166.35	4.62	4.72	1.39	1.41	36.78	41.27
	N2	78.05	79.13	5.27	5.85	43.60	47.12	171.40	189.34	5.66	5.94	1.71	1.79	37.50	42.34
	N3	79.39	83.13	5.43	6.17	44.60	49.02	179.84	195.99	6.03	6.64	1.85	2.05	38.43	43.86
	N4	83.11	85.43	5.62	6.33	45.74	49.96	184.17	204.34	6.30	7.17	1.96	2.18	39.45	44.62
	N5	73.14	74.21	5.30	6.03	43.80	48.16	171.38	190.35	5.65	5.90	1.71	1.81	38.00	43.25
Mean		72.07	76.07	5.57	6.13	45.42	48.79	160.51	177.90	5.40	6.22	1.66	1.89	39.11	43.75
LSD 0.05		1.40	1.63	0.10	0.09	0.59	0.54	2.07	3.84	0.09	0.16	0.04	0.06	0.15	0.28
LSD 0.01		1.90	2.20	0.13	0.12	0.80	0.73	2.80	5.20	0.13	0.22	0.06	0.08	0.21	0.38

SR1=70kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,SR2= 95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>and SR3= 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. N1=control (zero), N2= 35 unit ha<sup>-1</sup>, N3=70unit ha<sup>-1</sup>,N4=105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen (40% N) as slow-release fertilizer and N5= 105unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of Ammonium nitrate (33.5%N).

**Table (7) Simple correlation coefficients among grain yield/ hec of barley and its components (combined over 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 seasons)**

Variables	Plant height	Spike length	No. of grains/ spike	No. of spikes/m <sup>2</sup>	1000grain weight	Grain yield
Plant height	1					
Spike length	0.358**	1				
No. of grains/ spike	0.449**	0.830**	1			
No. of spikes/m <sup>2</sup>	0.924**	0.515**	0.512**	1		
1000grain weight	0.391**	0.751**	0.857**	0.529**	1	
Grain yield	0.833**	0.621**	0.768**	0.880**	0.661**	1

\*\* = significant at 0.01y level of significance

### Stepwise regression analysis:

Data were subjected to the stepwise regression analysis to determine the most contributing variable in the total variability of barley grain yield and their relative importance. Table (8) show accepted variables and their relative contributions using stepwise regression analysis. The results indicated that number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains/ spike and spike length were the most contributing variables in the total variability of grain yield of barley.

**Table(8). Accepted variable explaining grain variation using stepwise regression analysis over the two seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021.**

Accepted variables	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Calculated t	prob	Cumulative R <sup>2</sup> %	Partial R <sup>2</sup> %
Number of spikes/m <sup>2</sup> (x <sub>4</sub> )	0.010	0.001	11.141	0.000	77.4%	77.4%
No. of grains/ spike(x <sub>3</sub> )	0.061	0.009	6.545	0.000	91.0%	13.6%
Spike length(x <sub>2</sub> )	-0.117	0.045	2.625	0.014	92.9%	1.9%

Intercept =-2.165

R<sup>2</sup> for studied variables = 93.9%

R<sup>2</sup> for accepted variables = 92.9%

R<sup>2</sup> for removed variables = 0.0869%

$$\bar{R}^2 = 92.1\%$$

These variables were responsible for 92.9 % in the grain yield variation. The relative contribution of these variables in the grain yield variability were 77.4%,13.7% and 1.9%, respectively. The most important variable was number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> followed by No. of grains/ spike and spike length.(Table 8) The best predication equation was:

$$\hat{Y}_t = -2.165 + 0.0101x_4^{**} + 0.061x_3^{**} - 0.117x_2^{**}$$

The results of stepwise regression analysis revealed that plant height and weight of 1000 grains were removed from the model because of their, low relative contribution (1.00%).

### Economic evaluations:

#### Total income

The results in table (9) and fig(1) cleared that the highest values of total income being 19149 and 24990 LE ha<sup>-1</sup> resulting from combination of seed rate of 95kg hec<sup>-1</sup> (SR<sub>2</sub>) and 105 unit of slow release fertilizer (N<sub>4</sub>) during the two seasons, followed by combination of 120kg hec<sup>-1</sup> (SR<sub>3</sub>) of seed and 105 unit N ha<sup>-1</sup> was in the second rank. 19065 LE.ha<sup>-1</sup> and 22901 LE ha<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons respectively.

**Table (9). Economic evaluation barley yield as affected by interactions, among seed rates and nitrogen levels across seasons of 2019/2021 and 2020/2021.**

Seed Rate	Nitrogen levels	Income of grain (pound.ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Income of straw (pound. ha <sup>-1</sup> )		The total income (pound. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
		1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season
SR1	N1	7795	9213	3593	4697	11389	13911
	N2	9744	11935	4542	5867.4	14287	17830
	N3	11625	14727	5261	7469	16887	22197
	N4	12969	15984	5790	8115.8	18760	24100
	N5	10147	11866	4678	5990.6	14825	17857
SR2	N1	9206	10888	4339	5266.8	13546	16156
	N2	10886	13122	4949	6483.4	15836	19606
	N3	12163	16123	5356	8162	17519	24286
	N4	13372	16612	5776	8377.6	19149	24990
	N5	11088	13401	5152	6883.8	16241	20285
SR3	N1	9340	9841	4379	5097.4	13721	14939
	N2	11491	12494	5356	6391	16847	18885
	N3	12432	14309	5668	7068.6	18100	21378
	N4	13171	15216	5885	7684.6	19056	22901
	N5	11491	12633	5342	6298.6	16834	18932
Mean		11128	13224	5071	6656	16200	19882

**SR1=70kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,SR2= 95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>and SR3= 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. N1=control (zero), N2= 35 unit ha<sup>-1</sup>, N3=70unit ha<sup>-1</sup>, N4=105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen (40% N) as slow-release fertilizer and N5= 105unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of Ammonium nitrate (33.5%N).**

#### **Totat cost, Net return and Benefit cost ratio (b/c):**

The results in (Table 10) showed that the highest values of total cost of barley producing 9157 and 9492 LE. ha<sup>-1</sup> as a result of combination between seed rate of 95kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR2) and 105 unit of slow release fertilizer (N4) in both seasons. On the other hand the lowest cost were 5390 and 5999 LE.ha<sup>-1</sup> resulting combination between seed rate of 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR1) and N1(control) fertilize in both seasons of the study .

Regarding the net return, the results in Table (11) show that (combination between seed rate 95kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR2) and 105 unit of slow-release fertilizer (N4) were 9992 and 15498 LE.ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by 9549 LE.ha<sup>-1</sup> under seed rate 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR3) and 105 unit of slow-release fertilizer (N4) at the first season, in the 2nd season the followed net return by 15085 LE.ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate 95kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR2) and 70 unit of slow-release fertilizer (N3).

The results presented in Table (10) The benefit/cost ratio recorded the highest value of 1.21 under seed rate 95 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR2) and 70 unit of slow release fertilizer (N3) At the first season, meanwhile The highest benefit/cost ratio 1.63 was obtained in under seed rate 95kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SR2) and 105 unit of slow-release fertilizer (N4) at the second season.

Therefore, the results showed that the highest revenue and the highest net return came from the transaction seed rate 95 kg hec<sup>-1</sup> (SR<sub>2</sub>) and 105 unit of slow release fertilizer (N<sub>4</sub>) at both seasons.

**Table(10). Economic evaluation of barley yield as affected by interactions,( total coast, Net return and benefit cost ratio) across seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021.**

Seed Rate	Nitrogen levels	Total cost(pound.ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Net return(pound.ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Benefi- coast ratio	
		1 <sup>st</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season
SR1	N1	5390	5999	5999	7912	1.10	1.32
	N2	6729	7558	7558	10272	1.12	1.36
	N3	8068	8819	8819	13378	1.09	1.52
	N4	9407	9353	9353	14747	0.99	1.58
	N5	7232	7593	7593	10264	1.05	1.35
SR2	N1	6540	7906	6906	8250	1.05	1.04
	N2	6979	8857	8857	10749	1.11	1.21
	N3	8318	9201	9201	15085	1.2 1	1.61
	N4	9157	9492	9992	15498	1.08	1.63
	N5	7482	8759	8759	11526	1.17	1.32
SR3	N1	6890	7831	7831	7108	1.1	0.91
	N2	8229	9618	8618	9267	1.04	0.96
	N3	8968	9532	9132	11846	1.11	1.24
	N4	9507	9149	9549	13752	1.00	1.50
	N5	7732	9102	9102	9830	1.18	1.08
Mean		7775	8585	8484	11298	1.08	1.30

**SR1=70kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,SR2= 95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>and SR3= 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. N1=control (zero), N2= 35 unit ha<sup>-1</sup>, N3=70unit ha<sup>-1</sup>, N4=105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen (40% N) as slow-release fertilizer and N5= 105unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of Ammonium nitrate (33.5%N).**

## DISCUSSION:

**For seed rates:**SR1 (70kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the most desirable values for spike length, Number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 grain weight. Also, SR2 (95kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced the highest values for biological and grain yield (ton/ha). Regarding, SR3 (120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the most desirable values for plant heightand no of spikes per m<sup>2</sup>. These results agreed with those obtained by **Seadh et al.[18]**,who reported that a slight increment in plant height was recorder due to increase in the seeding rate. **Bekele et al. [19]**showed that increment in barley seeding rate from 100-175 kgha<sup>-1</sup> decreased No. of grainsspike<sup>-1</sup> by 28.2%, 1000-grain weight by 23.51%. **Haasan et al. [20]**stated that seed rate of 80 kgfed<sup>-1</sup> appeared to produce the highest value in each of No. of spikes m<sup>-2</sup> and grain yield fed<sup>-1</sup>. while, the seed rate of 40 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest value in each of No. of grains per spike, weight of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 grain weight.**Rahi and Mihbis [21]**showed that the rate of seeds 80 kg ha-1 surpassed the characteristics of plant elevation, spike length, weight of grains/spike.

**For nitrogen fertilizer levels:**Obtained results indicated that, nitrogen levels affected the all studied traits significantly. The most desirable valueswere recorded withN4 (105 unit ha<sup>-1</sup> of insiabeen as slow-release fertilizer)for all studied traits comparedwith no nitrogen application treatments (control). In line with this, **Rashid et al [22]** indicated that plant height was linearly increased with increasing levels of N fertilization. It may be due to the effect of nitrogen which promotes vegetative growth. However, the interaction of seed and N rate hadn't significant effects on plant height of barley. **Mohammadi and Samadiyan [23]**reported that nitrogen applications increase spike length of barley.Nitrogen increases vegetative growth of plants, especially at higher doses. Besides, the significant increase in number of tillers per plant, plant height, spike length, and grain yield by N contributed for the significant increase in productivity. This is in agreement with **Alam and Haider [24]** who

indicated that increased nitrogen level increased total dry matter. Nitrogen application may influence the amount formed chlorophyll, which influences cell size, leaf area and photosynthetic activity [25]. **Tanaka and Nakano [26]** concluded that grain yield increased markedly with increasing N application rate. **Abd El-Lattief et al.[27]** showed that there was a significant effect of fertilization treatments on plant elevation, spike interval, grains weight per spike, No. of spikes  $m^{-2}$ , 1000-grain weight, the grain and straw yields per feddan. The greatest amounts of previous traits were recorded when applied of 75% recommended NPK. **Gezahegn et al.[28]** revealed that the effect of N-fertilizer rates (0, 23, 46, 69, and 92 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) showed a significant increase in the No. of the productive tiller, grains number and weight per spike and thousand-grain weight with the increase in nitrogen levels. **Haasan et al.[20]** stated that nitrogen fertilization was very effective on all yield characteristics, so any increase in N levels was followed by a significant increase in each of No. of grains/spike, grains weight/spike and No. of spikes/ $m^2$ , also increased 1000-grain weight and grain yield per fed. **Jemal and Aliyi [29]** indicated that the greatest amounts of plant elevation, spike interval, No. of grains per spike, thousand seed weight, grain and straw yields were recorded from application of 92 kg N/ha.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the results obtained from the field and economical evaluation It could be recommended SR2 (seed rate 95 kg  $ha^{-1}$  with (N4)105 unit(kg)  $ha^{-1}$  of insiabean (40% N) as slow-release fertilizer) to improve barley productivity and Increase net return yield per unit area under rainfed conditions.

## REFERENCES

1. **Habtamu A., Heluf G., Bobe B., Enyew A. (2014).** Fertility Status of Soils under Different Land uses at Wujiraba Watershed, North-Western Highlands of Ethiopia. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Vol. 3, pp. 410-419.
2. **Aly, A. A., El-Deeb, F. E., Abdelazeem, A. A., Hameed, A. M., Alfi, A. A., Alessa, H., & Alrefaei, A. F. (2021).** Addition of whole barley flour as a partial substitute of wheat flour to enhance the nutritional value of biscuits. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 14(5), 103112. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2021.103112>.
3. **Poehlman JM. (1985).** Adaptation and distribution. In: Rasmusson. D.C. (ed.): Barley. Wisconsin, Madison, USA, New York 2-16.
4. **Zhou, M. X. (2009).** Barley Production and Consumption. Chapter · July 2010. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-01279-2\_1.
5. **Cooper, P.J.M., Gregory, P.J., Tully, D., Harris, H.G., 1987.** Improving water use efficiency of annual crops in rainfed farming systems of West Africa and North Africa. Exp. Agric. 23, 113–158.
6. **Alemu, G. (2001).** Response of barley to nitrogen and phosphorus applications in Welo highlands of Ethiopia. Ethiop J Nat Resour 3: 19-38.
7. **Mazid, A. and E.bailey (1992).** Incorporating risk in the economic analysis of agronomic trials: Fertilizer use on barley in syria agricultural economics 7:167-184
8. **Jones, M.J and A.wahbi (1992).** site-factor influence on barley response to fertilizer in on-farm trials in northern syria: descriptive and predictive models. experimental agriculture 28:63-87
9. **Kole, P.C. (2006).** Variability, correlation and regression analysis third somaclonal generation of barley . Barely Gene ties Newsletter 36:44-47.
10. **Zoefizadeh, M., M. Ghasemi, J. Azimi, M. khayatnezhed, B. Ahadzadeh (2011).** Correlation analysis and path analysis for yield and its components in hullless barley. Advance in Environmental Biology, 5(1):23-126.
11. **Abd El-Mohsen, A.A. (2013).** Correlation and regression analysis in barley. Egypt. J. Pl. Breed. 6(3):205-236.

12. **Gomez K. A. and Gomez A. A. (1984).** Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, U S A.
13. **Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1980).** Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A biometrical approach. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. McGraw-Hill, New York, USA, pp. 20-90.
14. **Draper, N. R. and H. Smith (1980).** Applied regression analysis .John Wilay and Sons,Jnc.N.Y.U.S.A. :397-402.
15. **Gad, M.A, S.M Issa and E. M. Mahmoud, (2013).** Economic evaluation of palm production in youth graduate projects in the New Lands, Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Economics, Economic Society for Agricultural Economics, Volume (21), Issue (1), p. 27.
16. **Enas M. Mahmoud, Rania F. M and Y. A. El- Gohary(2019).** Economic evaluation for the optimum seeding rate for some bread wheat genotypesBull. Fac. Agric, Cairo Univ., 70:153-161.
17. **Sahar. A. I. Ibrahem ,W. A. M. EiD and Y. I. El\_Gohary (2019).** Economic evaluation for the effect of the irrigation rates on some bread wheat genotypes Bull. Fac. Agric, Cairo Univ., 70:1-11.
18. **Seadh , S. E.; A. T. El- Kassaby; M. Mansour and M. M. M. El-Waseef (2022).** Response of Some Barley Cultivars to Different Sowing Dates, N-Fertilizer and Seeding Rates. J. of Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 13 (1): 9 -15.
19. **Bekele, S.; T. Yoseph and T. Ayalew (2020).** Growth, protein content, yield and yield components of malt barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties in response to seeding rate at Sinana District, Southeast Ethiopia. Intern. J. of Appl. Agric. Sci., 6 (4): 61-71.
20. **Haasan, E.E.; A.A. Sarhan; A.B. Gaballah and A.S.M. Ibrahim (2021).** Effect of nano-fertilizer, seeding rate and nitrogen fertilization on barley yield under Sinai conditions. J. Product. & Dev., 26(3): 391-413.
21. **Rahi, A.E. and A.F. Mihbis (2021).** Effect of N-fertilizer and rate of seeds on some growth criteria and dry matter production of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environ. Sci., 923, 2021, 012060, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/923/1/012060.
22. **Rashid, A., U.K Khan, D.J Khan (2007).** Comparative effect of varieties and fertilizer levels on barley (*Hordeumvulgare*). Pak Sci 1: 1-13.
23. **Mohammadi S. A., Samadiyan F. ( 2014).** Effect of nitrogen and cultivars on some traits of barley(*hordeum vulgare* l.) International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research. 2, 295-299.
24. **Alam MZ, Hider SA. Paul NK (2007)** Yield and yield components of barley(*Hordeum valgare*L.) cultivars inrelation to nitrogen fertilizer. J Appl Sci Res 3: 1022-1026.
25. **Marschner, H. (1995).** Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press San Diego, USA.
26. **Tanaka, R. and H. Nakano (2019).** Barley yield response to nitrogen application under different weather conditions. Sci. Reports, 9:8477, doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44876-y.
27. **Abd El-Lattief, E.A.; M.A. Ali and H.M. Hmadi (2021).** Effect of sowing dates and fertilization treatments on productivity of barley crop under Upper Egypt conditions. Intern. J. of Agric. Sci., 3(3): 192-204.
28. **Gezahegn, H.; H. Deribe and T. Wato (2021).** Response of food barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grain yield to the application of integrated farmyard manure and N-fertilizer rates at Gesha Woreda, Kaffa Zone, Southwest Ethiopia. Intern. J. of Bot. Studies, 6(5): 665-672.
29. **Jemal, K. and M. Aliyi (2021).** Growth and yield response of food barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties to N-fertilizer rates Inkofele District, Southeastern Oromia. J. Aqua. Res. Dev., 12(7): 645-667.