

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_112177
Title of the Manuscript:	MALARIA AND INTESTINAL HELMINTH PARASITES INFECTIONS AMONG CHILDREN OF AGE UNDER 15 YEARS IN ISUANIOCHA COMMUNITY AWKA NORTH LGA ANAMBRA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes the manuscript is significant as it analyzes a major health problem among children.</p> <p>It is better to have a slight modification of the title by including term "Prevalence"</p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive; objectives to be added.</p> <p><b>Sampling technique</b> - needs more explanation- What was the method of sampling used? What was the age group of children who were involved? It is mentioned that sample were children below 15 years and it is a vague description as it includes infants also.</p> <p><b>Data collection procedure</b>- to be explained in a comprehensive manner. Whether all children could give information needed for the structured questionnaire by themselves? What were the demographical variables of the subjects? What was the duration of data collection period? How many data collection trainers were involved? <b>Results</b> -tables shows data related to anemia which is not mentioned before in the text. What are the study hypotheses? Please mention the hypotheses as the analyses are not specific and clear. Statistical analyses are not depicted exactly; Table 1- Chi square analysis is not correct as per the statistical techniques. Table 2 also needs modification to suit the analysis. What is the meaning of Table 3? It is better to have a table depicting the prevalence of infection in the various age groups.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</b></p>	<p>Language needs correction especially in the sections: headings of tables &amp; discussion</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Statistical analyses are not specific; needs clear interpretation of results with appropriate comparisons. Add few recommendations. Modify the conclusion.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Usha M Thomas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>JSS College of Nursing, India</b>