

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114753
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable agriculture development and optimum utilization of natural resources: striking a balance
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article really provides a broad overview of good natural resource management, especially in India. So I think the title you gave is more specific to the Indian region, looking in general at the problems found in the Indian region, that's the reference used in the article. 2. The title of the article is good, but perhaps it could be more specific to the region in India. Because in every region, several countries may experience the same thing. However, in this article the problems described are only for the Indian region. 3. The explanation of the abstract is well explained 4. The structure of the manuscript writing is neat and complete. Because this article takes the form of a literature review and recommendation. 5. The explanation of the article has been explained scientifically. 6. Please use more recent references. Minimum of the last 10 years. You can get this reference from the results of the latest research. The references you use I think are a bit messy. It doesn't seem to be in order. For example, references 13-15 appear after 32-33 in this article. Maybe you could correct your reference numbering again. When writing quotations, it is better to write them at the end of the sentence, not in the middle of the sentence because that will cause your writing to be sloppy. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	OK	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Made Aditya Nugraha
Department, University & Country	Marine and Fisheries Polytechnic of Kupang, Indonesia