

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_114385
Title of the Manuscript:	Lived experiences of sexuality among women undergoing breast cancer treatment at a major cancer center in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript presents a significant contribution to the scientific community, particularly in the realm of oncology and women's health. By exploring the lived experiences of women undergoing breast cancer treatment in Ghana, the study fills a gap in the literature, providing valuable insights that can inform supportive care practices and interventions tailored to the cultural context of Ghana.</p> <p>2. The title of the article effectively captures the essence of the research topic. It succinctly conveys the focus of the study. It is specific and informative, enabling readers to grasp the primary subject matter of the manuscript at a glance.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, providing a concise overview of the study objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications. It effectively summarizes the main points of the manuscript.</p> <p>4. The manuscript exhibits a well-organized structure with clear subsections that guide the reader through the research process.</p> <p>5. The manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific rigor, characterized by meticulous attention to detail in study design, data collection, and analysis.</p> <p>6. The manuscript cites a range of relevant and recent references, reflecting a thorough review of the existing literature on the topic. But few references are not as per the format of APA-7 in the Discussion section i.e., (Many authors such as Aziato (2009), Cebeci, Yangin & Tekelli (2011) and Doumit, El Saghir, Huijjer, Kelley, & Nassar (2010).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Nasar Iqbal
Department, University & Country	The University of Lahore, Pakistan