

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_114754 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Physiological efficiency of weeds in rice fallow fields |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive .</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient and 67% of them are recent.</p> | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Language/ English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | <p>Optional/ General comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction needs to references. 2. In the first line of the introduction, the word is must be changed to are. 3. In the 12th and 13th lines in the introduction, the word has must be changed to have. 4. Make space between genus name and specie name when you write Latin names. 5. In the results and discussion write the Latin name of Alexander grass. 6. Hussein <i>et al</i>/ who is the fourth author must be written in the text. 7. Authors in the text must be written as the second author. 8. In the references list, all references must be written as the second reference. 9. Has which mentioned in the 8th and 10th in the conclusion must be changed to have. 10. Tables and their numbers must be written in the text of the results and discussion. 11. Write the number and the title of each table. 12. The Latin names, the number and species of the selected weeds and crops must be written in the materials and methods. 13. The word is which mentioned in the last line of the results and discussion must be changed to are. 14. Results and discussion are insufficient. 15. The abbreviations must be written completely when mentioned in the first time. | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Mukhtar Abdel Aziz Mohamed Osman |
| Department, University & Country | University of Dongola, Sudan |