

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_114281</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on variability and character association analysis in chickpea (<i>cicer arietinum</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article: Full length paper.	Full length paper

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. Yes, with a little bit change which mentioned in comment box.</p> <p>Comprehensive</p> <p>Appropriate <b>Yes</b> References are sufficient, and dully cited in the text as well as reference section.</p> <p>1. In the title the <b>botanical name</b> of the plant <b>chick pea</b> shoul be written in <i>italics</i>, and the first letter of the generic name should be written in capital letter and the name should be as <b>Chick pea</b>.</p> <p>2. In Introduction part where the text with reference 1, the name of the species with higher yield should be mentioned there clearly.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>2. <b>Quality Language, and is suitable for scientific communication, as well as easy to understand.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript with this research findings is good and work is significant and helpful for future related with the same paspect. It will help to grow good quantity and quality of the chick pea in future product in future.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nishtha Seth</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, India</b>